

# Partition of a Graph into Cycles and Isolated Vertices

Shinya Fujita

Department of Applied Mathematics

Science University of Tokyo

1-3 Kagurazaka, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo, 162-8601 Japan

## Abstract

Let  $k, r, n$  be integers with  $k \geq 2, 0 \leq r \leq k-1$  and  $n \geq 10k+3$ . We prove that if  $G$  is a graph of order  $n$  such that the degree sum of any pair of nonadjacent vertices is at least  $n-r$ , then  $G$  contains  $k$  vertex-disjoint subgraphs  $H_i, 1 \leq i \leq k$ , such that  $V(H_1) \cup \dots \cup V(H_k) = V(G)$  and such that  $H_i$  is a cycle or isomorphic to  $K_1$  for each  $i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq r$ , and  $H_i$  is a cycle for each  $i$  with  $r+1 \leq i \leq k$ .

## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider only finite, simple, undirected graphs with no loops and no multiple edges. For a graph  $G$ , we denote by  $V(G)$  and  $E(G)$  the vertex set and the edge set of  $G$ , respectively. For a vertex  $x$  of a graph  $G$ , the neighborhood of  $x$  in  $G$  is denoted by  $N_G(x)$ , and we let  $d_G(x) := |N_G(x)|$ . For a noncomplete graph  $G$ , let  $\sigma_2(G) := \min\{d_G(x) + d_G(y) \mid xy \notin E(G)\}$ ; if  $G$  is a complete graph, let  $\sigma_2(G) := \infty$ . For an integer  $n \geq 1$ , we let  $K_n$  denote the complete graph of order  $n$ . In this paper, “disjoint” means “vertex-disjoint”.

A sufficient condition for the existence of a specified number of disjoint cycles covering all vertices was given by Brandt et al. in [1]:

**Theorem A**([1]) *Let  $k, n$  be integers with  $n \geq 4k$ . Let  $G$  be a graph of order  $n$ , and suppose that  $\sigma_2(G) \geq n$ . Then  $G$  contains  $k$  disjoint cycles  $H_i, 1 \leq i \leq k$ , such that  $V(H_1) \cup \dots \cup V(H_k) = V(G)$ .*

In [4], Enomoto and Li showed that if we regard  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  as cycles, then the condition on  $\sigma_2(G)$  in Theorem A can be weakened:

**Theorem B**([4]) *Let  $k, n$  be positive integers with  $n \geq k$ . Let  $G$  be a graph of order  $n$ , and suppose that  $\sigma_2(G) \geq n - k + 1$ . Then unless  $k = 2$  and  $G$  is a cycle of length 5,  $G$  contains  $k$  disjoint subgraphs  $H_i, 1 \leq i \leq k$ , such that  $V(H_1) \cup \dots \cup V(H_k) = V(G)$  and such that for each  $1 \leq i \leq k$ ,  $H_i$  is either*

a cycle or isomorphic to  $K_1$  or  $K_2$ .

Also, in [7], Hu and Li showed that if the order of  $G$  is sufficiently large, then we do not need  $K_2$  in Theorem B:

**Theorem C**([7]) *Let  $k, n$  be positive integers with  $n \geq 10k + 3$ . Let  $G$  be a graph of order  $n$ , and suppose that  $\sigma_2(G) \geq n - k + 1$ . Then  $G$  contains  $k$  disjoint subgraphs  $H_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , such that  $V(H_1) \cup \dots \cup V(H_k) = V(G)$  and such that for each  $1 \leq i \leq k$ ,  $H_i$  is either a cycle or isomorphic to  $K_1$ .*

Along a slightly different line, Kawarabayashi [8] proved the following refinement of Theorem A:

**Theorem D**([8]) *Let  $k, n$  be integers with  $k \geq 2$  and  $n \geq 4k$ . Let  $G$  be a graph of order  $n$ , and suppose that  $\sigma_2(G) \geq n - 1$ . Then one of the following holds:*

- (i)  $G$  contains  $k$  disjoint cycles  $H_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , such that  $V(H_1) \cup \dots \cup V(H_k) = V(G)$ ;
- (ii)  $G$  has a vertex set  $S \subset V(G)$  with  $|V(S)| = \frac{n-1}{2}$  such that  $G - S$  is independent; or
- (iii)  $G$  is isomorphic to the graph obtained from  $K_{n-1}$  by adding a vertex and join it to precisely one vertex of  $K_{n-1}$  (i.e.,  $G \cong (K_{n-2} \cup K_1) + K_1$ ).

The purpose of this paper is to "interpolate" Theorem C and Theorems D and A by proving the following theorem, which was conjectured by Enomoto [5]:

**Theorem 1** *Let  $k, r, n$  be integers with  $2 \leq r \leq k - 2$  and  $n \geq 7k$ . Let  $G$  be a graph of order  $n$ , and suppose that  $\sigma_2(G) \geq n - r$ . Then  $G$  contains  $k$  disjoint subgraphs  $H_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , such that  $V(H_1) \cup \dots \cup V(H_k) = V(G)$  and such that  $H_i$  is a cycle or isomorphic to  $K_1$  for each  $i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq r$ , and  $H_i$  is a cycle for each  $i$  with  $r + 1 \leq i \leq k$ .*

Combining Theorems A,C and D and Theorem 1, we obtain the following corollary:

**Corollary 2** *Let  $k, r, n$  be integers with  $k \geq 2, 0 \leq r \leq k - 1$  and  $n \geq 10k + 3$ . Let  $G$  be a graph of order  $n$ , and suppose that  $\sigma_2(G) \geq n - r$ . Then  $G$  contains  $k$  disjoint subgraphs  $H_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , such that  $V(H_1) \cup \dots \cup V(H_k) = V(G)$  and such that  $H_i$  is a cycle or isomorphic to  $K_1$  for each  $i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq r$ , and  $H_i$  is a cycle for each  $i$  with  $r + 1 \leq i \leq k$ .*

Our notation is standard except possibly for the following. Let  $G$  be a graph. For a subset  $L$  of  $V(G)$ , the subgraph induced by  $L$  is denoted by  $\langle L \rangle$ . For a subset  $M$  of  $V(G)$ , we let  $G - M = \langle V(G) - M \rangle$  and, for a subgraph  $H$  of  $G$ , we let  $G - H = \langle V(G) - V(H) \rangle$ . For subsets  $L$  and  $M$  of  $V(G)$ , we let  $E(L, M)$  denote the set of edges of  $G$  joining a vertex in  $L$  and a vertex in  $M$ . A vertex  $x$  is often identified with the set  $\{x\}$ . Thus if  $x \in V(G)$ , then  $\langle x \rangle$  means  $\langle \{x\} \rangle$ ,  $G - x$  means  $G - \{x\}$ , and  $E(x, M)$  means  $E(\{x\}, M)$  for  $M \subset V(G)$ . We say that  $G$  is pancyclic if  $|V(G)| \geq 3$  and  $G$  contains a cycle of length  $l$  for each  $l$  with  $3 \leq l \leq |V(G)|$ . For a cycle  $C = x_1x_2 \dots x_{|V(C)|}x_1$  and for a vertex  $x = x_i \in V(C)$ , we define  $x^{+j} = x_{i+j}$  and  $x^{-j} = x_{i-j}$  (indices are to be read modulo  $|V(C)|$ ). Also, we let  $x^+ = x^{+1}$ ,  $x^- = x^{-1}$ .

We conclude this section by listing known results which we use in the proof of Theorem 1.

**Theorem E**([6]) *Let  $n \geq 3$  be an integer. Let  $G$  be a 2-connected graph of order  $n$ , and suppose that  $\max\{d_G(x), d_G(y)\} \geq \frac{n}{2}$  for any  $x, y \in V(G)$  such that  $x$  and  $y$  are at distance 2 apart. Then  $G$  has a hamiltonian cycle.*

**Theorem F**([2]) *Let  $k, d, n$  be integers with  $k \geq 3$ ,  $d \geq 4k - 1$  and  $n \geq 3k$ . Let  $G$  be a graph of order  $n$ , and suppose that  $\sigma_2(G) \geq d$ . Then  $G$  contains  $k$  disjoint cycles covering at least  $\min\{d, n\}$  vertices of  $G$ .*

The following theorem, announced in [2], asserts that Theorem F holds for  $k = 2$  as well.

**Theorem G**([3]) *Let  $d, n$  be integers with  $d \geq 7$  and  $n \geq 6$ . Let  $G$  be a graph of order  $n$ , and suppose that  $\sigma_2(G) \geq d$ . Then  $G$  contains two disjoint cycles covering at least  $\min\{d, n\}$  vertices of  $G$ .*

## 2 Preparation for the proof of Theorem 1

We start with three lemmas related to Theorem E.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\alpha \geq 3$  be an integer. Let  $F$  be a 2-connected graph of order  $\alpha$ , and suppose that  $\max\{d_F(x), d_F(y)\} > \frac{\alpha}{2}$  for any  $x, y \in V(F)$  with  $x \neq y$  and  $xy \notin E(F)$ . Then  $F$  is pancyclic.*

**Proof.** If  $\alpha = 3$  or 4, then the assumption of the Lemma implies that  $F \cong K_\alpha$ . Thus we may assume  $\alpha \geq 5$ . We first prove that the following claim.

**Claim.** There exists  $x \in V(F)$  with  $d_F(x) > \frac{\alpha}{2}$  such that  $F - x$  contains a

cycle  $D$  of length  $\alpha - 1$  or  $\alpha - 2$ .

**Proof.** By Theorem E,  $F$  contains a hamiltonian cycle  $C$ . Take  $x \in V(C) = V(G)$  with  $d_F(x) > \frac{\alpha}{2}$ . If  $d_F(x^-) \leq \frac{\alpha}{2}$  and  $d_F(x^+) \leq \frac{\alpha}{2}$ , then  $x^-x^+ \in E(F)$ , and hence  $F - x$  contains a cycle of length  $\alpha - 1$ ; if  $d_F(x^-) > \frac{\alpha}{2}$  and  $d_F(x^+) > \frac{\alpha}{2}$ , then there exists  $y \in V(C)$  such that  $y \in N_F(x^-)$  and  $y^+ \in N_F(x^+)$  (it is possible that  $y = x^+$  or  $y^+ = x^-$ ), and hence  $F - x$  contains a cycle of length  $\alpha - 1$ . Thus we may assume  $d_F(x^-) \leq \frac{\alpha}{2}$  and  $d_F(x^+) > \frac{\alpha}{2}$ . Arguing similarly with  $x$  replaced by  $x^+$ , we may also assume  $d_F(x^{+2}) \leq \frac{\alpha}{2}$ . But then  $x^-x^{+2} \in E(F)$ , and hence  $F - \{x, x^+\}$  contains a cycle of length  $\alpha - 2$ .  $\square$

Returning to the proof of the lemma, let  $x, D$  be as in the Claim. If  $|V(D)| = \alpha - 2$ , then  $|E(x, V(D))| > \frac{\alpha}{2} - 1 = \frac{|V(D)|}{2}$ ; if  $|V(D)| = \alpha - 1$ , then  $|E(x, V(D))| > \frac{\alpha}{2} > \frac{|V(D)|}{2}$ . In either case,  $|E(x, V(D))| > \frac{|V(D)|}{2}$ . Now let  $3 \leq l \leq \alpha - 1$ . Then there exists  $z \in V(D)$  such that  $z \in N_F(x)$  and  $z^{+(l-2)} \in N_F(x)$ . Thus  $\langle \{x\} \cup \{z, z^+, \dots, z^{+(l-2)}\} \rangle$  contains a cycle of length  $l$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $r, \alpha$  be integers with  $\alpha \geq r + 2 \geq 4$ . Let  $F$  be a graph of order  $\alpha$ , and suppose that  $F$  is not 2-connected, and  $\max\{d_F(x), d_F(y)\} \geq \frac{\alpha}{2}$  for any  $x, y \in V(F)$  with  $x \neq y$  and  $xy \notin E(F)$ . Then one of the following holds:*

- (1)  $F$  contains  $r$  disjoint subgraphs  $A_1, \dots, A_r$  such that  $V(A_1) \cup \dots \cup V(A_r) = V(F)$  and such that for each  $1 \leq j \leq r$ ,  $A_j$  is either a cycle or isomorphic to  $K_1$ ;
- (2)  $r = 2$ ,  $F$  is disconnected, and one of the components of  $F$  has order 2; or
- (3)  $r = 2$ , and there exists  $e \in E(F)$  such that one of the components of  $F - e$  has order 2.

**Proof.** If  $F$  is connected, then let  $B$  be an endblock of  $F$  such that  $B - c$  contains a vertex  $a$  with  $d_F(a) \geq \frac{\alpha}{2}$ , where  $c$  is the cut vertex of  $F$  contained in  $B$ ; if  $F$  is disconnected, then let  $B$  be a component of  $F$  such that  $B$  contains a vertex  $a$  with  $d_F(a) \geq \frac{\alpha}{2}$ , and take  $c \in V(B)$ . Then  $|V(B)| \geq d_B(a) + 1 = d_F(a) + 1 \geq \frac{\alpha}{2} + 1$ . Hence for each  $z \in V(F - B)$ ,  $d_F(z) \leq |(V(F - B) \cup \{c\}) - \{z\}| \leq \frac{\alpha}{2} - 1$ . This implies that  $F - B$  is a complete graph, and that

$$d_B(x) = d_F(x) \geq \frac{\alpha}{2} > \frac{|V(B)|}{2} \text{ for every } x \in V(B-c). \quad (2.1)$$

If  $|V(F-B)| \leq r-1$ , then by (2.1) and Lemma 2.1,  $B$  contains a cycle  $C$  of length  $\alpha - (r-1)$ , and hence  $\{C\} \cup \{\langle v \rangle \mid v \in V(F-C)\}$  forms a collection of subgraphs having the properties required in (1). Thus we may assume  $|V(F-B)| \geq r$ . Then  $|V(B)| \geq \frac{\alpha}{2} + 1 \geq |V(F-B)| + 2 \geq r+2$ . If  $|V(F-B)| \geq 3$ , then  $F-B$  contains a cycle  $C$  of length  $|V(F-B)|$  and  $B$  contains a cycle  $D$  of length  $|V(B)| - (r-2)$ , and hence  $\{C, D\} \cup \{\langle v \rangle \mid v \in V(F-C-D)\}$  forms a collection of subgraphs with the desired properties. Thus we may assume  $|V(F-B)| = 2$ , which forces  $r = 2$ . By (2.1),  $d_{B-c}(x) \geq \frac{\alpha}{2} - 1 = \frac{|V(B-c)|+1}{2}$  for every  $x \in V(B-c)$ . This in particular implies that  $B-c$  is 2-connected. Hence by Theorem E,  $B-c$  contains a cycle  $C$  of length  $|V(B)| - 1 = \alpha - 3$ . Now if  $|E(c, V(F-B))| = 2$ , then  $C$  and  $\langle (V(F-B) \cup \{c\}) \rangle$  satisfy the properties required in (1). Thus we may assume  $|E(c, V(F-B))| \leq 1$ , which implies that (2) or (3) holds.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $r, \alpha$  be integers with  $\alpha \geq r+2 \geq 4$ . Let  $F$  be a graph of order  $\alpha$ , and suppose that  $\max\{d_F(x), d_F(y)\} > \frac{\alpha}{2}$  for any  $x, y \in V(F)$  with  $x \neq y$  and  $xy \notin E(F)$ . In the case where  $r = 2$ , suppose further that  $|V(F)| \leq 6$ . Then  $F$  contains  $r$  disjoint subgraphs  $A_1, \dots, A_r$  such that  $V(A_1) \cup \dots \cup V(A_r) = V(F)$  and such that for each  $1 \leq j \leq r$ ,  $A_j$  is either a cycle or isomorphic to  $K_1$ .*

**Proof.** If  $F$  is 2-connected, then by Lemma 2.1,  $F$  contains a cycle  $C$  of length  $\alpha - (r-1)$ , and hence  $\{C\} \cup \{\langle v \rangle \mid v \in V(F-C)\}$  forms a collection of desired subgraphs. Thus we may assume  $F$  is not 2-connected. In view of Lemma 2.2, we may also assume that (2) or (3) of Lemma 2.2 holds. Then  $r = 2$  and, with  $B$  and  $a$  as in the proof of Lemma 2.2, we have  $d_F(a) > \frac{\alpha}{2}$ , and hence  $\alpha = |V(F)| = |V(B)| + 2 \geq (d_F(a) + 1) + 2 > \frac{\alpha}{2} + 3$ . This contradicts the assumption that we have  $\alpha \leq 6$  when  $r = 2$ .  $\square$

Throughout the rest of this paper, let  $n, k, r$  be as in Theorem 1, and let  $G$  be a counterexample to Theorem 1. Let  $L = \{v \in V(G) \mid d_G(v) < \frac{n-r}{2}\}$ . Note that  $xy \in E(G)$  for any  $x, y \in L$  by the assumption that  $\sigma_2(G) \geq n-r$ . We first prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.4.** *In  $G$ , there exist  $k-r$  disjoint cycles  $H_1, \dots, H_{k-r}$  such that  $n-3r \leq |\cup_{i=1}^{k-r} V(H_i)| \leq n-r$ .*

**Proof.** Take  $v_1, \dots, v_r \in V(G)$ , and let  $G' = G - \{v_1, \dots, v_r\}$ . Then  $\sigma_2(G') \geq n-3r$ . Since  $k-r \geq 2$  and  $n-r > n-3r > 4(k-r)$ , it follows

from Theorems F and G that  $G'$  contains  $k - r$  disjoint cycles  $H_1, \dots, H_{k-r}$  such that  $|\cup_{i=1}^{k-r} V(H_i)| \geq n - 3r$ . Since  $|\cup_{i=1}^{k-r} V(H_i)| \leq |V(G')| = n - r$ ,  $H_1, \dots, H_{k-r}$  are cycles with the desired properties.  $\square$

Let  $H_1, \dots, H_{k-r}$  be as in Lemma 2.4. We choose  $H_1, \dots, H_{k-r}$  so that

(a)  $|\cup_{i=1}^{k-r} V(H_i)|$  is maximum (subject to the condition that  $|\cup_{i=1}^{k-r} V(H_i)| \leq n - r$ ) and,

subject to condition (a), so that

(b)  $|(\cup_{i=1}^{k-r} V(H_i)) \cap L|$  is maximum (we make use of (b) only in the proof of Lemma 2.15).

Let  $H = \langle \cup_{i=1}^{k-r} V(H_i) \rangle$  and let  $\alpha = |V(G-H)|$ . If  $\alpha = r$ , then  $\{H_1, \dots, H_{k-r}\} \cup \{\langle v \rangle \mid v \in V(G-H)\}$  forms a collection of subgraphs having the properties required in Theorem 1. Thus we may assume  $\alpha \geq r + 1$ .

We now prove several lemmas which we use in estimating the degree of various vertices.

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $P = v_1 v_2 \dots v_l$  ( $l \geq 1$ ) be a path in  $G - H$  and let  $1 \leq i \leq k - r$ , and suppose that  $|V(H_i)| \geq l + 1$ . Suppose that  $N_G(v_1) \cap V(H_i) \neq \emptyset$ , and let  $x \in N_G(v_1) \cap V(H_i)$ . Then  $E(v_i, \{x^{-l}, x^{+l}\}) = \emptyset$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose not. By symmetry, we may assume  $v_i x^{+l} \in E(G)$ . Then  $\langle V(H_i) \cup V(P) - \{x^{+1}, \dots, x^{l-1}\} \rangle$  contains a cycle  $C$  of length  $|V(H_i)| + 1$ . Hence by replacing  $H_i$  by  $C$ , we get a contradiction to the maximality of  $|\cup_{i=1}^{k-r} V(H_i)|$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $v \in V(G - H)$ , and let  $1 \leq i \leq k - r$ . Then the following hold.*

(i) *No two vertices in  $N_G(v) \cap V(H_i)$  are consecutive on  $H_i$ .*

(ii)  $|E(v, V(H_i))| \leq |V(H_i)|/2$ .

**Proof.** Applying Lemma 2.5 with  $l = 1$ , we see that (i) holds, and (ii) follows from (i).  $\square$

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $v \in V(G - H)$ . Then  $|E(v, V(H))| \leq (n - \alpha)/2$ .*

**Proof.** By Lemma 2.6(ii),  $|E(v, V(H))| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k-r} |V(H_i)|/2 = (n - \alpha)/2$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.8.** *Suppose that  $\alpha = r + 1$ . Let  $v, v' \in V(G - H)$  with  $v \neq v'$ , and let  $1 \leq i \leq k - r$ . Let  $a, b \in V(H_i)$  with  $a \neq b$ , and suppose that  $a, b^+ \in N_G(v)$  and  $a^+, b \in N_G(v')$ . Then  $\{a, a^+\} \cap \{b, b^+\} \neq \emptyset$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose that  $\{a, a^+\} \cap \{b, b^+\} = \emptyset$ . Then  $\langle V(H_i) \cup \{v, v'\} \rangle$  contains disjoint cycles  $C, D$  such that  $V(C) \cup V(D) = V(H_i) \cup \{v, v'\}$ . Since  $\alpha = r + 1$ , this means that  $\{H_1, \dots, H_{i-1}, C, D, H_{i+1}, \dots, H_{k-r}\} \cup \{u \mid u \in V(G - H) - \{v, v'\}\}$  forms a collection of subgraphs with the desired properties.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.9.** *Let  $vv' \in E(G - H)$ , and let  $1 \leq i \leq k - r$ . Then the following statements hold:*

- (i) *If  $v$  is adjacent to a vertex  $x \in V(H_i)$  and  $E(v', \{x^-, x^+\}) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\alpha = r + 1$ .*
- (ii)  $|E(\{v, v'\}, V(H_i))| \leq (2|V(H_i)| + 4)/3$ .
- (iii) *If  $N_{G-H}(v) \cap N_{G-H}(v') \neq \emptyset$ , then  $|E(\{v, v'\}, V(H_i))| \leq (|V(H_i)| + 1)/2$ .*

**Proof.** If  $vx \in E(G)$ ,  $E(v', \{x^-, x^+\}) \neq \emptyset$  and  $\alpha \geq r + 2$ , then  $\langle V(H_i) \cup \{v, v'\} \rangle$  contains a cycle  $C$  of length  $|V(H_i)| + 2$  and, by replacing  $H_i$  by  $C$ , we get a contradiction to the maximality of  $|\cup_{i=1}^{k-r} V(H_i)|$ . Thus (i) holds. We proceed to the proof of (ii) and (iii). If  $|V(H_i)| = 3$ , then by Lemma 2.6(ii),  $|E(\{v, v'\}, V(H_i))| \leq 1 + 1 = 2$ . Thus we assume that  $|V(H_i)| \geq 4$ , and define  $f(x) = |E(\{v, v'\}, \{x^-, x, x^+\})|$  for each  $x \in V(H_i)$  and, if  $N_{G-H}(v) \cap N_{G-H}(v') \neq \emptyset$ , then we also define  $g(x) = |E(\{v, v'\}, \{x^-, x, x^+, x^{+2}\})|$  for each  $x \in V(H_i)$ .

We first prove (ii). We start with the following claim.

**Claim 1.** Let  $z \in V(H_i)$ . Then  $f(z) \leq 3$ . Further if equality holds, then  $\alpha = r + 1$ , and one of the following holds:

- (1)  $E(v, \{z^-, z, z^+\}) = \{vz^-, vz^+\}$  and  $E(v', \{z^-, z, z^+\}) = \{v'z\}$ ; or
- (2)  $E(v, \{z^-, z, z^+\}) = \{vz\}$  and  $E(v', \{z^-, z, z^+\}) = \{v'z^-, v'z^+\}$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that  $f(z) \geq 3$ . Then  $|E(v, \{z^-, z, z^+\})| \geq 2$  or  $|E(v', \{z^-, z, z^+\})| \geq 2$ . We may assume  $|E(v, \{z^-, z, z^+\})| \geq 2$ . Then by Lemma 2.6(i),  $E(v, \{z^-, z, z^+\}) = \{vz^-, vz^+\}$ . Therefore applying Lemma 2.5 with  $l = 2$ ,

we obtain  $E(v', \{z^-, z, z^+\}) = \{v'z\}$ , and hence  $\alpha = r + 1$  by (i).  $\square$

Now by way of contradiction, suppose that  $|E(\{v, v'\}, V(H_i))| > (2|V(H_i)| + 4)/3$ . Then since  $|E(\{v, v'\}, V(H_i))| = (\sum_{z \in V(H_i)} f(z))/3$ , it follows from Claim 1 that  $\alpha = r + 1$ ,  $|V(H_i)| \geq 5$ , and the number of those vertices  $z$  of  $H_i$  for which  $f(z) = 3$  is at least 5. Hence there exist  $x, y \in V(H_i)$  with  $f(x) = f(y) = 3$  such that  $|\{x^-, x, x^+\} \cap \{y^-, y, y^+\}| \leq 1$ . By the symmetry of  $x$  and  $y$ , we may assume  $\{x^-, x\} \cap \{y^-, y, y^+\} = \emptyset$ . By the symmetry of  $v$  and  $v'$ , we may assume (1) of Claim 1 holds for  $x$ . Now if (1) holds for  $y$ , we get a contradiction by applying Lemma 2.8 with  $a = x^-$  and  $b = y$ ; similarly if (2) holds for  $y$ , we get a contradiction by applying Lemma 2.8 with  $a = x^-$  and  $b = y^-$ . Thus (ii) is proved.

To prove (iii), suppose that  $N_{G-H}(v) \cap N_{G-H}(v') \neq \emptyset$ .

**Claim 2.** Let  $z \in V(H_i)$ . Then  $g(z) \leq 3$ . Further if equality holds, then  $\alpha = r + 1$ , and one of the following holds:

- (1)  $E(v, \{z^-, z, z^+, z^{+2}\}) = \{vz^-, vz^+\}$  and  $E(v', \{z^-, z, z^+, z^{+2}\}) = \{v'z\}$ ;
- (2)  $E(v, \{z^-, z, z^+, z^{+2}\}) = \{vz\}$  and  $E(v', \{z^-, z, z^+, z^{+2}\}) = \{v'z^-, v'z^+\}$ ;
- (3)  $E(v, \{z^-, z, z^+, z^{+2}\}) = \{vz, vz^{+2}\}$  and  $E(v', \{z^-, z, z^+, z^{+2}\}) = \{v'z^+\}$ ; or
- (4)  $E(v, \{z^-, z, z^+, z^{+2}\}) = \{vz^+\}$  and  $E(v', \{z^-, z, z^+, z^{+2}\}) = \{v'z, v'z^{+2}\}$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that  $g(z) \geq 3$ . Then  $|E(v, \{z^-, z, z^+, z^{+2}\})| \geq 2$  or  $|E(v', \{z^-, z, z^+, z^{+2}\})| \geq 2$ . We may assume  $|E(v, \{z^-, z, z^+, z^{+2}\})| \geq 2$ . Then by Lemma 2.6(i),  $E(v, \{z^-, z, z^+, z^{+2}\}) = \{vz^-, vz^{+2}\}, \{vz^-, vz^+\}$  or  $\{vz, vz^{+2}\}$ . If  $E(v, \{z^-, z, z^+, z^{+2}\}) = \{vz^-, vz^{+2}\}$ , then applying Lemma 2.5 with  $l = 2, 3$ , we get  $E(v', \{z^-, z, z^+, z^{+2}\}) = \emptyset$ , which contradicts the assumption that  $g(z) \geq 3$ . Thus  $E(v, \{z^-, z, z^+, z^{+2}\}) = \{vz^-, vz^+\}$  or  $\{vz, vz^{+2}\}$ . We may assume  $E(v, \{z^-, z, z^+, z^{+2}\}) = \{vz^-, vz^+\}$ . Then applying Lemma 2.5 again with  $l = 2, 3$ , we obtain  $E(v', \{z^-, z, z^+, z^{+2}\}) = \{v'z\}$ , and hence  $\alpha = r + 1$  by (i).  $\square$

Returning to the proof of (iii), suppose that  $|E(\{v, v'\}, V(H_i))| > (|V(H_i)| + 1)/2$ . Then since  $|E(\{v, v'\}, V(H_i))| = (\sum_{z \in V(H_i)} g(z))/4$ , it follows from Claim 2 that  $\alpha = r + 1$  and the number of those vertices  $z$  of  $H_i$  for which  $g(z) = 3$  is at least 3. Take  $x \in V(H_i)$  with  $g(x) = 3$ . By symmetry, we may assume (1) of Claim 2 holds for  $x$ . Then  $E(\{v, v'\}, x^{+2}) = \emptyset$ . Applying Claim 2 with  $z = x^+$ , we also see that  $E(\{v, v'\}, x^{+3}) = \emptyset$ . Similarly applying Claim 2 with  $z = x^{-1}$  and  $z = x^{-2}$ , we get  $E(\{v, v'\}, x^{-2}) = \emptyset$  and  $E(\{v, v'\}, x^{-3}) = \emptyset$ . Hence again by Claim 2,  $g(z) \leq 2$  for each  $z \in$



$\{x^{-4}, x^{-3}, x^{-2}, x^+, x^{+2}, x^{+3}\}$ . Consequently  $|V(H_i)| \geq 9$  and there exists  $y \in V(H_i) - \{x^{-4}, x^{-3}, x^{-2}, x^-, x, x^+, x^{+2}, x^{+3}\}$  such that  $g(y) = 3$ . Then  $\{x^-, x, x^+, x^{+2}\} \cap \{y^-, y, y^+, y^{+2}\} = \emptyset$ . Therefore we get a contradiction by applying Lemma 2.8 with  $a = x^-$  and  $b = y^-, y$  or  $y^+$ , which proves (iii).  $\square$

**Lemma 2.10.** *Let  $vv' \in E(G - H)$ . Then the following hold.*

- (i)  $|E(\{v, v'\}, V(H))| \leq (2(n - \alpha) + 4(k - r))/3$ .
- (ii) *If  $N_{G-H}(v) \cap N_{G-H}(v') \neq \emptyset$ , then  $|E(\{v, v'\}, V(H))| \leq ((n - \alpha) + (k - r))/2$ .*

**Proof.** By Lemma 2.9(ii),  $|E(\{v, v'\}, V(H))| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k-r} (2|V(H_i)| + 4)/3 = (2(n - \alpha) + 4(k - r))/3$  and, if  $N_{G-H}(v) \cap N_{G-H}(v') \neq \emptyset$ , then by Lemma 2.9(iii),  $|E(\{v, v'\}, V(H))| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k-r} (|V(H_i)| + 1)/2 = ((n - \alpha) + (k - r))/2$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.11.** *Let  $v \in V(G - H)$ , and let  $1 \leq i \leq k - r$ . Let  $x \in V(H_i)$ , and suppose that  $N_G(v) \supset \{x, x^{+2}\}$ . Then  $d_H(x^+) \leq (n - \alpha)/2$ .*

**Proof.** By the assumption that  $N_G(v) \supset \{x, x^{+2}\}$ , there exists a cycle  $C$  of length  $|V(H_i)|$  in  $\langle (V(H_i) - \{x^+\}) \cup \{v\} \rangle$ . Thus arguing similarly as in the proof of Lemma 2.6, we see from the maximality of  $|\cup_{j=1}^{k-r} V(H_j)|$  that  $|E(x^+, V(H_j))| \leq |H_j|/2$  for each  $j$  with  $1 \leq j \leq k - r$  and  $j \neq i$ , and  $|E(x^+, V(C))| \leq |V(C)|/2$ , and hence  $|E(x^+, V(H_i) - \{x^+\})| \leq |V(C)|/2 = |V(H_i)|/2$ . Consequently,  $d_H(x^+) \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{k-r} |V(H_j)| = (n - \alpha)/2$ .  $\square$

The following two lemmas are used when we choose an appropriate vertex in  $H$  where degree is to be estimated.

**Lemma 2.12.** *Let  $v \in V(G - H) - L$ . Suppose that either  $d_{G-H}(v) \leq \frac{1}{2}\alpha$  or  $\alpha \leq r + 2$ . Then for some  $i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq k - r$ , there exist three distinct vertices  $x, y, z \in V(H_i)$  such that  $N_G(v) \supset \{x, x^{+2}, y, y^{+2}, z, z^{+2}\}$  (it is possible that  $\{x, y, z\} \cap \{x^{+2}, y^{+2}, z^{+2}\} \neq \emptyset$ ).*

**Proof.** Suppose not. Then it follows from Lemma 2.6(i) that for each  $1 \leq i \leq k - r$ , we have  $|E(v, \{x, x^+, x^{+2}\})| \leq 1$  for every vertex  $x \in V(H_i)$  possibly except two. Hence  $|E(v, V(H))| = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=1}^{k-r} \sum_{x \in V(H_i)} |E(v, \{x^-, x, x^+\})| \leq \frac{1}{3}(n - \alpha) + \frac{2}{3}(k - r)$ . Since  $v \notin L$ , this implies  $\frac{n - \alpha}{3} + \frac{2}{3}(k - r) + d_{G-H}(v) \geq d_G(v) \geq \frac{n - r}{2}$ , and hence  $n \leq 4k - r - 2\alpha + 6d_{G-H}(v)$ . Now if  $d_{G-H}(v) \leq \frac{\alpha}{2}$ , then from  $\alpha \leq 3r$  and  $r \leq k - 2$ , we obtain  $n \leq 4k - r + \alpha \leq 4k + 2r < 6k$ ,

which contradicts the assumption that  $n \geq 7k$ ; if  $\alpha \leq r + 2$ , then from  $d_{G-H}(v) \leq |V(G-H)| - 1 = \alpha - 1$  and  $r \leq k - 2$ , we obtain  $n \leq 4k - r + 4\alpha - 6 \leq 4k + 3r + 2 < 7k$ , which again contradicts the assumption that  $n \geq 7k$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.13.** *Let  $v \in V(G-H) - L$  and  $v' \in N_{G-H}(v)$ , and suppose that either  $d_{G-H}(v) \leq \frac{\alpha}{2}$  or  $\alpha \leq r + 2$ . Then for some  $i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq k - r$ , there exists  $x \in V(H_i)$  such that  $x, x^{+2} \in N_G(v)$ ,  $v, v' \notin N_G(x^+)$  and  $|E(x^+, V(G-H))| \leq \frac{\alpha-2}{2}$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $i, x, y, z$  be as in Lemma 2.12. Then by Lemma 2.6(ii),  $|V(H_i)| \geq 6$ . Suppose that some two of  $x^+, y^+$  and  $z^+$ , say  $x^+$  and  $y^+$ , have a common neighbor  $u$  in  $V(G-H) - \{v\}$ . Then  $\langle V(H_i) \cup \{v, u\} \rangle$  contains a cycle of length  $|V(H_i)| + 2$ . In view of the maximality of  $|\cup_{i=1}^{k-r} V(H_i)|$ , this implies  $\alpha = r + 1$ . On the other hand, since  $|V(H_i)| \geq 6$ , it follows from Lemma 2.6(i) that we have  $\{x, x^+\} \cap \{y^+, y^{+2}\} = \emptyset$  or  $\{x^+, x^{+2}\} \cap \{y, y^+\} = \emptyset$ . Consequently we get a contradiction by applying Lemma 2.8 with  $a = x$  and  $b = y^+$  or  $a = y$  and  $b = x^+$ . Thus no two of  $x^+, y^+$  and  $z^+$  have a common neighbor in  $V(G-H) - \{v\}$ . In particular, at most one of  $x^+, y^+$  and  $z^+$  is adjacent to  $v'$ . We may assume  $x^+v', y^+v' \notin E(G)$ . We may also assume  $|E(x^+, V(H_i))| \leq |E(y^+, V(H_i))|$ . Then since  $x^+v, y^+v \notin E(G)$  by Lemma 2.6(i),  $|E(x^+, V(G-H))| \leq \frac{|V(G-H-\{v,v'\})|}{2} = \frac{\alpha-2}{2}$ . Thus  $x$  has the desired properties.  $\square$

Finally we prove two lemmas which we need in considering the case where  $V(G-H) \subset L$ .

**Lemma 2.14.** *Suppose that  $\alpha = r + 1$  and there exists a triangle  $T$  in  $G-H$ . Let  $1 \leq i \leq k-r$  with  $|V(H_i)| \geq 4$ , and let  $x \in V(H_i)$ . Then  $d_H(x) + d_H(x^+) \leq n - \alpha$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose that  $d_H(x) + d_H(x^+) > n - \alpha$ . Then there exists  $j$  such that  $|E(x, V(H_j))| + |E(x^+, V(H_j))| > |V(H_j)|$ . Assume for the moment that  $j = i$ . Then there exists  $y \in V(H_i)$  such that  $xy, x^+y^{+2} \in E(G)$  (it is possible that  $y = x^+$  or  $y^{+2} = x$ ). Since  $|V(H_i)| \geq 4$ , this implies that  $\langle V(H_i) - \{y^+\} \rangle$  contains a cycle  $C$  of length  $|V(H_i)| - 1$ , and hence  $\{H_1, \dots, H_{i-1}, C, \{y^+\}, H_{i+1}, \dots, H_{k-r}, T\} \cup \{\langle v \rangle \mid v \in V(G-H-T)\}$  forms a collection of subgraphs with the desired properties. Thus we may assume  $j \neq i$ . Then there exists  $y \in V(H_j)$  such that  $xy, x^+y^{+3} \in E(G)$ . (it is possible that  $y = y^{+3}$ ), which implies that  $\langle V(H_i) \cup (V(H_j) - \{y^+, y^{+2}\}) \rangle$

contains a cycle  $C$  of length  $|V(H_i)| + |V(H_j)| - 2$ . Hence replacing  $H_i$  and  $H_j$  by  $C$  and  $T$ , we get a contradiction to the maximality of  $|\cup_{h=1}^{k-r} V(H_h)|$ .  
 $\square$

**Lemma 2.15.** *Suppose that  $V(G - H) \subset L$ , and let  $1 \leq i \leq k - r$ .*

- (i) *If  $z \in V(H_i)$  and  $E(z, V(G - H)) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $E(z^{+2}, V(G - H)) = \emptyset$ .*
- (ii) *There exists  $x \in V(H_i)$  such that  $E(x, V(G - H)) = \emptyset$  and  $E(x^+, V(G - H)) = \emptyset$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose that there exists  $z \in V(H_i)$  such that  $E(z, V(G - H)) \neq \emptyset$  and  $E(z^{+2}, V(G - H)) \neq \emptyset$ , and take  $v \in N_G(z) \cap V(G - H)$  and  $v' \in N_G(z^{+2}) \cap V(G - H)$ . If  $v \neq v'$ , then  $\langle (V(H_i) \cup \{v, v'\}) - \{z^+\} \rangle$  contains a cycle  $C$  of length  $|V(H_i)| + 1$ , and hence we get a contradiction to the maximality of  $|\cup_{j=1}^{k-r} V(H_j)|$  by replacing  $H_i$  by  $C$ . Thus  $v = v'$ . Then  $\langle (V(H_i) \cup \{v\}) - \{z^+\} \rangle$  contains a cycle  $C$  of length  $|V(H_i)|$ . Since  $vz^+ \notin E(G)$  by Lemma 2.6(i) and since  $v \in L$  by the assumption of the lemma,  $z^+ \notin L$  by the assumption that  $\sigma_2(G) \geq n - r$ . Consequently, replacing  $H_i$  by  $C$ , we get a contradiction to the maximality of  $|\cup_{i=1}^{k-r} V(H_i) \cap L|$ . This proves (i). We now prove (ii). We may assume  $E(V(H_i), V(G - H)) \neq \emptyset$ . Take  $y \in V(H_i)$  with  $E(y, V(G - H)) \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $E(y^{+2}, V(G - H)) = \emptyset$  by (i). If  $E(y^+, V(G - H)) = \emptyset$ , then  $y^+$  has the desired properties. Thus we may assume  $E(y^+, V(G - H)) \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $E(y^{+3}, V(G - H)) = \emptyset$  by (i) (so  $|V(H_i)| \geq 4$ ), and hence  $y^{+2}$  has the desired properties.  $\square$

### 3 Proof of Theorem 1

We continue with the notation of the preceding section, and complete the proof of Theorem 1. We divide the proof into two cases.

Case 1:  $V(G - H) \not\subseteq L$

Subcase 1.1.  $r + 3 \leq \alpha \leq 3r$ .

If  $d_{G-H}(z) > \alpha/2$  for all  $z \in V(G - H) - L$ , then by Lemma 2.3,  $G - H$  contains  $r$  disjoint subgraphs  $A_1, \dots, A_r$  such that  $V(A_1) \cup \dots \cup V(A_r) = V(G - H)$  and  $A_j$  is either a cycle or isomorphic to  $K_1$  for each  $j$  (note that we have  $|V(G - H)| \leq 3r = 6$  in the case where  $r = 2$ ), and they together with  $H_1, \dots, H_{k-r}$  yield subgraphs with the desired properties. Thus we may assume there exists  $v \in V(G - H) - L$  such that  $d_{G-H}(v) \leq \alpha/2$ . We

first consider the case where there exists  $v' \in N_{G-H}(v)$  such that  $N_{G-H}(v) \cap N_{G-H}(v') \neq \emptyset$ . By Lemma 2.13, there exists a cycle  $H_i$  and there exists  $x \in V(H_i)$  such that  $x, x^{+2} \in N_G(v)$  and  $v, v' \notin N_G(x^+)$ . Since  $\alpha \geq r+3$ , we see from the maximality of  $|\sum_{j=1}^{k-r} V(H_j)|$  that  $N_G(x^+) \cap N_G(v) \cap V(G-H) = \emptyset$  and  $N_G(x^+) \cap N_G(v') \cap V(G-H) = \emptyset$ , and hence  $|N_G(x^+) \cap V(G-H)| + |N_G(v) \cap V(G-H)| \leq \alpha$  and  $|N_G(x^+) \cap V(G-H)| + |N_G(v') \cap V(G-H)| \leq \alpha$ . Since  $|N_G(x^+) \cap V(H)| \leq (n-\alpha)/2$  by Lemma 2.11 and  $|N_G(v) \cap V(H)| + |N_G(v') \cap V(H)| \leq ((n-\alpha) + (k-r))/2$  by Lemma 2.10(ii), this implies  $2d_G(x^+) + d_G(v) + d_G(v') \leq 2\alpha + (n-\alpha) + ((n-\alpha) + (k-r))/2 = 3n/2 + k/2 - r/2 + \alpha/2$ . On the other hand, since  $v, v' \notin N_G(x^+)$ ,  $2d_G(x^+) + d_G(v) + d_G(v') \geq 2n - 2r$  by the assumption that  $\sigma_2(G) \geq n-r$ . Consequently  $2n - 2r \leq 3n/2 + k/2 - r/2 + \alpha/2$ , which implies  $n \leq k + 3r + \alpha \leq k + 6r < 7k$ , a contradiction. We now consider the case where  $N_{G-H}(v) \cap N_{G-H}(z) = \emptyset$  for every  $z \in N_{G-H}(v)$ . In this case, we have  $|N_G(v) \cap (L - V(H))| \leq 1$  by the fact that  $\langle L - V(H) \rangle$  is a complete graph. Since  $d_{G-H}(v) = d_G(v) - |N_G(v) \cap V(H)| \geq (n-r)/2 - (n-\alpha)/2 > 1$  by Lemma 2.7 and the assumption of Subcase 1.1, this implies  $N_{G-H-L}(v) \neq \emptyset$ . Take  $v' \in N_{G-H-L}(v)$ . Since  $N_{G-H}(v) \cap N_{G-H}(v') = \emptyset$ ,  $|N_G(v) \cap V(G-H)| + |N_G(v') \cap V(G-H)| \leq \alpha$ . Since  $|N_G(v) \cap V(H)| + |N_G(v') \cap V(H)| \leq (2(n-\alpha) + 4(k-r))/3$  by Lemma 2.10(i), this implies  $d_G(v) + d_G(v') \leq \alpha + (2(n-\alpha) + 4(k-r))/3$ . On the other hand, we get  $d_G(v) + d_G(v') \geq n-r$  from  $v, v' \notin L$ . Consequently  $n-r \leq 2n/3 + 4k/3 - 4r/3 + \alpha/3$ , which implies  $n \leq 4k - r + \alpha \leq 4k + 2r < 6k$ , a contradiction.

Subcase 1.2.  $r+1 \leq \alpha \leq r+2$ .

Let  $v \in V(G-H) - L$ . By Lemma 2.7,  $d_{G-H}(v) = d_G(v) - |N_G(v) \cap V(H)| \geq \frac{n-r}{2} - \frac{n-\alpha}{2} > 0$ . Take  $v' \in N_{G-H}(v)$ . By Lemma 2.13, we can find a cycle  $H_i$  for which there exists  $x \in V(H_i)$  such that  $x, x^{+2} \in N_G(v)$ ,  $v, v' \notin N_G(x^+)$ , and  $|N_G(x^+) \cap V(G-H)| \leq \frac{\alpha-2}{2}$ . If  $N_{G-H}(v) \cap N_{G-H}(v') \neq \emptyset$ , then by Lemma 2.10(ii) and Lemma 2.11,  $2n - 2r \leq 2d_G(x^+) + d_G(v) + d_G(v') \leq 2(\frac{n-\alpha}{2} + \frac{\alpha-2}{2}) + \frac{(n-\alpha) + (k-r)}{2} + 2(\alpha-1)$ , which implies  $n \leq k + 3r + 3\alpha - 8 \leq k + 6r - 2 < 7k$ , a contradiction. Thus we may assume  $N_{G-H}(v) \cap N_{G-H}(v') = \emptyset$ . Then  $|N_G(v) \cap V(G-H)| + |N_G(v') \cap V(G-H)| \leq \alpha$ . Hence by Lemma 2.10(i) and Lemma 2.11,  $2n - 2r \leq 2d_G(x^+) + d_G(v) + d_G(v') \leq 2(\frac{n-\alpha}{2} + \frac{\alpha-2}{2}) + \frac{2(n-\alpha) + 4(k-r)}{3} + \alpha$ , which implies  $n \leq 4k + 2r + \alpha - 6 \leq 4k + 3r - 4 < 7k$ . This is a contradiction, which completes the discussion for Case 1.

Case 2:  $V(G-H) \subset L$

In this case,  $G-H$  is a complete graph by the definition of  $L$ . If  $\alpha \geq r+2$ , then  $G-H$  contains a cycle  $C$  of length  $\alpha - (r-1) \geq 3$ , and hence

$\{H_1, \dots, H_{k-r}, C\} \cup \{\langle v \rangle \mid v \in V(G - H - C)\}$  forms a collection of desired subgraphs of  $G$ . Thus we may assume  $\alpha = r + 1$ . Since  $|V(H)| = n - (r + 1) > 3k$ , there exists  $H_i$  with  $|V(H_i)| \geq 4$ . By Lemma 2.15(ii), there exists  $x \in V(H_i)$  such that  $N_G(x) \subset V(H)$  and  $N_G(x^+) \subset V(H)$ . Take  $v, v' \in V(G - H)$ . Note that  $\{v, v'\}$  is contained in a triangle of  $G - H$  because  $|V(G - H)| = r + 1 \geq 3$ . Hence by Lemma 2.10(i) and Lemma 2.14,  $2n - 2r \leq d_G(v) + d_G(v') + d_G(x) + d_G(x^+) = (|N_G(v) \cap V(H)| + |N_G(v') \cap V(H)|) + (|N_G(v) \cap V(G - H)| + |N_G(v') \cap V(G - H)|) + (|N_G(x) \cap V(H)| + |N_G(x^+) \cap V(H)|) \leq \frac{(n-r-1)+(k-r)}{2} + 2r + (n-r-1)$ . Therefore  $n \leq k + 4r - 3 < 5k$ , which is a contradiction.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

## Acknowledgment

I would like to thank Professor Hikoe Enomoto, who suggested to me the problem discussed in this paper.

## References

- [1] S.Brandt, G.Chen, R.J.Gould and L.Lesniak, Degree conditions for 2-factors, *J.Graph Theory* **24**(1997) 165-173.
- [2] Y.Egawa, M.Hagita, K.Kawarabayashi, and H.Wang, Covering vertices of a graph by  $k$  disjoint cycles, to appear in *Discrete Math.*
- [3] Y.Egawa, S.Fujita, K.Kawarabayashi, and H.Wang, Existence of two disjoint long cycles in graphs, preprint.
- [4] H.Enomoto, H.Li, Partition of a graph into cycles and degenerated cycles, preprint.
- [5] H.Enomoto, private communication.
- [6] G.H.Fan, New sufficient condition for cycles in graphs, *J.Combin. Theory Ser. B* **37**(1984), 221-227.
- [7] Z.Hu and H.Li, Weak cycle partition involving degree sum conditions, preprint.
- [8] K.Kawarabayashi, Degree sum conditions and graphs which are not covered by  $k$  cycles, preprint.