

# Forbidden Pairs for Vertex-Disjoint Claws

Shinya Fujita

Department of Mathematics

Keio University

Hiyoshi, Kohoku-ku, Yokohama, 223-8522, Japan

## Abstract

Let  $k \geq 4$ , and let  $H_1, H_2$  be connected graphs with  $|V(H_i)| \geq 3$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . A graph  $G$  is said to be  $\{H_1, \dots, H_l\}$ -free if none of  $H_1, \dots, H_l$  is an induced subgraph of  $G$ . We prove that if there exists a positive integer  $n_0$  such that every  $\{H_1, H_2\}$ -free graph  $G$  with  $|V(G)| \geq n_0$  and  $\delta(G) \geq 3$  contains  $k$  vertex-disjoint claws, then  $\{H_1, H_2\} \cap \{K_{1,t} \mid t \geq 2\} \neq \emptyset$ . Also, we prove that every  $K_{1,r}$ -free graph of sufficiently large order with minimum degree at least  $t$  contains  $k$  vertex-disjoint copies of  $K_{1,t}$ .

## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider only finite, simple, undirected graphs with no loops and no multiple edges. For a graph  $G$ , we denote by  $V(G)$ ,  $E(G)$  and  $\delta(G)$  the vertex set, the edge set and the minimum degree of  $G$ , respectively. For a vertex  $x$  of a graph  $G$ , the neighborhood of  $x$  in  $G$  is denoted by  $N_G(x)$ , and we let  $d_G(x) := |N_G(x)|$ . Let  $H_1, \dots, H_l$  be connected graphs. A graph  $G$  is said to be  $\{H_1, \dots, H_l\}$ -free if none of  $H_1, \dots, H_l$  is an induced subgraph of  $G$ . When  $l = 1$ , we write  $H_1$ -free briefly. A graph  $K_{1,3}$  is called *claw*, and a  $K_{1,3}$ -free graph is called a *claw-free* graph.

Our notation is standard except possibly for the following. Let  $G$  be a graph. For a subset  $L$  of  $V(G)$ , the subgraph induced by  $L$  is denoted by  $\langle L \rangle$ . For a subset  $M$  of  $V(G)$ , we let  $G - M = \langle V(G) - M \rangle$ . For subsets  $L$  and  $M$  of  $V(G)$  with  $L \cap M = \emptyset$ , we let  $E(L, M)$  denote the set of edges of  $G$  joining a vertex in  $L$  and a vertex in  $M$ . A vertex  $x$  is often identified with the set  $\{x\}$ . Thus if  $x \in V(G)$ , then  $G - x$  means  $G - \{x\}$ , and  $E(x, M)$  means  $E(\{x\}, M)$  for  $M \subset V(G - x)$ .

As for the existence of vertex-disjoint claws in general graphs, Egawa and Ota proved the following theorem.

**Theorem 1** ([1]). *Let  $G$  be a graph of order at least  $4k+6$  with  $\delta(G) \geq k+2$ . Then  $G$  contains  $k$  vertex-disjoint claws.*

Recently, the author obtained a similar result concerning claw-free graphs.

**Theorem 2 ([2]).** *Let  $G$  be a claw-free graph of order at least  $7k - 6$  with  $\delta(G) \geq 3$ . Then  $G$  contains  $k$  vertex-disjoint claws.*

It is an interesting problem for us to consider degree conditions and forbidden subgraphs for the existence of vertex-disjoint claws in graphs. In this paper, we are concerned with the relationship between the existence of vertex-disjoint claws and a class of graphs which is described in terms of forbidden subgraphs. Now we propose the following problem.

**Problem.** *Consider the statement “every  $\{H_1, \dots, H_l\}$ -free graph of sufficiently large order with minimum degree at least three contains  $k$  vertex-disjoint claws”, and determine  $\{H_1, \dots, H_l\}$  that makes the statement true.*

Along this line, the author obtained the following results.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $k \geq 4$ , and let  $H_1, H_2$  be connected graphs with  $|V(H_i)| \geq 3$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . If there exists a positive integer  $n_0$  such that every  $\{H_1, H_2\}$ -free graph  $G$  with  $|V(G)| \geq n_0$  and  $\delta(G) \geq 3$  contains  $k$  vertex-disjoint claws, then  $\{H_1, H_2\} \cap \{K_{1,t} \mid t \geq 2\} \neq \emptyset$ .*

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $r, t$  be an integer with  $r \geq 2, t \geq 2$ . Let  $G$  be a  $K_{1,r}$ -free graph of order at least  $(t+1)(k-1)\{t(r-1)+1\}+1$  with  $\delta(G) \geq t$ . Then  $G$  contains  $k$  vertex-disjoint copies of  $K_{1,t}$ .*

Applying Theorem 4 to  $t = 3$ , Theorems 3 and 4 mean that if we forbid a pair of subgraphs to force the existence of vertex-disjoint claws, one of them must be a star and the other becomes redundant. In Theorem 4, the bound on  $|V(G)|$  is not best possible. As for this part, we propose the following conjecture:

**Conjecture.** *Let  $k, r, t$  be integers with  $k \geq 2, r \geq 3$  and  $t \geq 2$ . If  $G$  is a  $K_{1,r}$ -free graph of order at least  $(k-1)\{t(r-1)+1\}+1$  with  $\delta(G) \geq t$ , then  $G$  contains  $k$  vertex-disjoint copies of  $K_{1,t}$ .*

If the conjecture is true, the bound on  $|V(G)|$  is best possible. To see this, let  $B_i = K_t$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq r-1$ , and consider  $G = \cup_{i=1}^{k-1} A_i$  where  $A_i = K_1 + \cup_{j=1}^{r-1} B_j$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ . Then  $G$  is a  $K_{1,r}$ -free graph of order  $(k-1)\{t(r-1)+1\}$  with  $\delta(G) \geq t$ . It is easy to check that  $G$  does not contain  $k$  vertex-disjoint copies of  $K_{1,t}$ . Theorem 2 implies that the above conjecture is true for  $r = t = 3$ .

## 2 Proof of Theorem 3

We define two graphs  $G_1, G_2$  as follows:

- (1)  $G_1 = K_{3,m}$  where  $m + 3 \geq n_0$ .
- (2)  $G_2 = K_3 + \overline{K_m}$  where  $m + 3 \geq n_0$ .

Furthermore, we construct a graph  $G_3$  as follows:

Let  $B$  be a graph with  $V(B) = \{b_1, b_2, b_3\}$  such that  $B \cong \overline{K_3}$ , and let  $C = c_1c_2 \dots c_{3l}c_1$  be a cycle with no chord where  $l$  is an integer with  $3l \geq n_0 - 3$ . Define  $G_3$  by  $V(G_3) = V(B) \cup V(C)$  and  $E(G_3) = E(C) \cup (\bigcup_{j=1}^3 \{b_jc_i \mid i \equiv j - 1 \pmod{3}, 1 \leq i \leq 3l\})$ .

For each  $i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq 3$ ,  $G_i$  is a graph of order at least  $n_0$  with  $\delta(G_i) \geq 3$ . It is easy to see that  $G_i (1 \leq i \leq 3)$  does not contain  $k$  vertex-disjoint claws.

By contradiction, suppose that neither  $H_1$  nor  $H_2$  is a star. By the assumption of Theorem 3, each  $G_i (1 \leq i \leq 3)$  must contain either  $H_1$  or  $H_2$  as an induced subgraph. Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $G_1$  contains  $H_1$  as an induced subgraph. Since  $H_1$  is not a star and  $|H_1| \geq 3$ , it follows that  $H_1 \cong K_{p,q}$  where  $2 \leq p \leq q$ . This implies that  $H_1$  contains  $C_4$  as an induced subgraph. We see from the structure of  $G_2$  that  $G_2$  does not contain  $C_4$  as an induced subgraph. This means that  $G_2$  contains  $H_2$  as an induced subgraph. Since  $H_2$  is not a star and  $|H_2| \geq 3$ , it follows that  $H_2$  contains  $C_3$  as an induced subgraph. We see from the structure of  $G_3$  that  $G_3$  is a graph of girth 6, which means that none of  $C_3, C_4$  is an induced subgraph of  $G_3$ . Hence none of  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  is an induced subgraph of  $G_3$ . This is a contradiction. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.  $\square$

## 3 Proof of Theorem 4

We take vertex-disjoint subgraphs  $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_s$  such that  $C_i$  contains  $K_{1,t}$  as a spanning subgraph for each  $1 \leq i \leq s$  so that  $s$  is maximum. Let  $C = \langle \bigcup_{i=1}^s V(C_i) \rangle$  and  $H = G - C$ . Then it follows from the maximality of  $s$  that  $d_H(v) \leq t - 1$  for each  $v \in V(H)$ . We may assume that  $s \leq k - 1$ . Hence  $|V(H)| \geq (t + 1)(k - 1)\{t(r - 1) + 1\} + 1 - s(t + 1) \geq (t + 1)(k - 1)t(r - 1) + 1$ . Now we prove the following claim.

**Claim 3.1.** *There exists a subgraph  $X$  in  $H$  such that  $X = \overline{K}_{(t+1)(k-1)(r-1)+1}$ .*

**Proof.** We take  $(t+1)(k-1)(r-1)+1$  vertices  $x_1, \dots, x_{(t+1)(k-1)(r-1)+1}$  in  $H$  as follows:

(i) Let  $H_1 = H$ , and take  $x_1 \in V(H_1)$ .

(ii) For  $i = 2, \dots, (t+1)(k-1)(r-1)+1$ , let  $H_i = H_{i-1} - N_G(x_{i-1})$ , and take  $x_i \in V(H_i)$ .

Since  $d_H(v) \leq t-1$  for each  $v \in V(H)$ , note that  $|V(H_i)| - |V(H_{i-1})| \leq t$  for each  $2 \leq i \leq (t+1)(k-1)(r-1)+1$  and hence  $H_1 \supset H_2 \supset \dots \supset H_{(t+1)(k-1)(r-1)+1} \neq \emptyset$  because  $|V(H_{(t+1)(k-1)(r-1)+1})| \geq |V(H)| - t(t+1)(k-1)(r-1) \geq 1$ . Thus we can take  $(t+1)(k-1)(r-1)+1$  vertices  $x_1, \dots, x_{(t+1)(k-1)(r-1)+1}$ . Then by the choice of these vertices,

$$\langle \{x_1, \dots, x_{(t+1)(k-1)(r-1)+1}\} \rangle \cong \overline{K}_{(t+1)(k-1)(r-1)+1}.$$

Thus the claim holds.  $\square$

By Claim 3.1, there exists a subgraph  $X$  in  $H$  such that  $X = \overline{K}_{(t+1)(k-1)(r-1)+1}$ . Since  $\delta(G) \geq t$ , note that  $E(x, V(C)) \neq \emptyset$  for every  $x \in V(X)$ . Hence there exists  $C_j$  with  $1 \leq j \leq s$  such that  $|\{x \in V(X) \mid E(x, V(C_j)) \neq \emptyset\}| \geq (t+1)(r-1)+1$  because  $s \leq k-1$  and  $|V(X)| = (t+1)(k-1)(r-1)+1$ . Since  $|V(C_j)| = t+1$ , there exists a vertex  $y \in V(C_j)$  such that  $|E(y, V(X))| \geq r$ . Then  $\langle \{y\} \cup (N_G(y) \cap V(H)) \rangle$  contains  $K_{1,r}$  as an induced subgraph. This contradicts the assumption that  $G$  is  $K_{1,r}$ -free. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.  $\square$

## References

- [1] Y.Egawa and K.Ota, Vertex-disjoint claws in graphs, Discrete Mathematics 197/198(1999)225-246.
- [2] S.Fujita, Vertex-disjoint copies of  $K_1 + (K_1 \cup K_2)$  in claw-free graphs, submitted.