# Vertex-Disjoint Copies of $K_4^-$ in Graphs

Shinya Fujita
Department of Applied Mathematics
Science University of Tokyo
1-3 Kagurazaka, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo, 162-8601 Japan

#### Abstract

Let  $K_4^-$  denote the graph obtained from  $K_4$  by removing one edge. Let k be an integer with  $k \geq 2$ . Kawarabayashi conjectured that if G is a graph of order  $n \geq 4k+1$  with  $\sigma_2(G) \geq n+k$ , then G has k vertex-disjoint copies of  $K_4^-$ . In this paper, we settle this conjecture affirmatively.

## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider only finite, simple, undirected graphs with no loops and no multiple edges. For a graph G, we denote by V(G) and E(G) the vertex set and the edge set of G, respectively. For a vertex x of a graph G, the neighborhood of x in G is denoted by  $N_G(x)$ , and we let  $d_G(x) := |N_G(x)|$ . For a noncomplete graph G, let  $\sigma_2(G) := \min\{d_G(x) + d_G(y)| xy \notin E(G)\}$ ; if G is a complete graph, let  $\sigma_2(G) := \infty$ . Let  $K_4^-$  be the graph obtained from  $K_4$  by removing one edge, and let S denote the graph obtained from  $K_4$  by removing two edges which have a common vertex.

The main purpose of this paper is to prove the following theorem, which was conjectured by K. Kawarabayashi in [1] and [2].

**Theorem 1** Let k be an integer with  $k \geq 2$ , and let G be a graph of order  $n \geq 4k + 1$  with  $\sigma_2(G) \geq n + k$ . Then G contains k vertex-disjoint copies of  $K_4^-$ .

In Theorem 1, the condition on  $\sigma_2(G)$  is best possible in the following sense. Let k,n be integers with  $k \geq 2$  and  $n \geq 4k+1$  such that n-k is odd, and let  $G = \overline{K_{k-1}} + (\overline{K_{n-k+1} + K_{n-k+1}})$ . Then  $\sigma_2(G) = n+k-1$ , but G contains at most k-1 vertex-disjoint triangles, and hence G does not contain k vertex-disjoint  $K_4^-$ . Note also that the conclusion of Theorem 1 does not hold when n=4k. To see this, let  $k \geq 4$  be an integer and let  $l = \lceil \frac{8k-2}{3} \rceil$ , and let  $G = (K_1 \cup K_{l-1}) + \overline{K_{4k-l}}$ . Then  $\sigma_2(G) = 8k-l-2 \geq 5k = |V(G)| + k$ , but G does not contain k vertex-disjoint  $K_4^-$ .

In the proof of Theorem 1, we make use of the following theorem.

**Theorem 2(**[2]) Let k be an integer with  $k \geq 2$ , and let G be a graph of order  $n \geq 4k$  with  $\sigma_2(G) \geq n + k$ . Then G contains k vertex-disjoint copies of S.

Our notation is standard except possibly for the following. Let G be a graph. For a subset A of V(G), the subgraph induced by A is denoted by  $\langle A \rangle$ . For a subgraph H of G, we let  $G - H = \langle V(G) - V(H) \rangle$  and, for a vertex x of G, we let  $G - x = \langle V(G) - \{x\} \rangle$ . For disjoint subsets A and B of V(G), we let E(A,B) denote the set of edges of G joining a vertex in A and a vertex in B. When A or B consists of a single vertex, say  $A = \{x\}$  or  $B = \{y\}$ , we write E(x,B) or E(A,y) for E(A,B). For a subgraph H of G and for a vertex x of G with  $x \notin V(H)$ , we let  $N_H(x) = N_G(x) \cap V(H)$ ; thus  $|N_H(x)| = |E(x,V(H))|$ .

# 2 Preparation for the proof of Theorem 1

Let G be a graph of order  $n \geq 4k+1$  with  $\sigma_2(G) \geq n+k$ . Since G has k vertex-disjoint copies of S by Theorem 2, we can choose k vertex-disjoint induced subgraphs  $S_1, \ldots, S_k$  such that for each  $1 \leq i \leq k'$ ,  $S_i$  contains  $K_4^-$  as a spanning subgraph and, for each  $k'+1 \leq i \leq k$ ,  $S_i \cong S(0 \leq k' \leq k)$ . Let  $H := \langle \bigcup_{i=1}^k V(S_i) \rangle$ . For  $i=1,\ldots,k$ , write  $V(S_i) = \{a_i,b_i,c_i,d_i\}$  so that  $d_{S_i}(a_i) \geq d_{S_i}(b_i) \geq d_{S_i}(c_i) \geq d_{S_i}(d_i)$ . Note that  $d_{S_i}(a_i) = d_{S_i}(b_i) = 3$  and  $d_{S_i}(c_i) = d_{S_i}(d_i) \geq 2$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq k'$ , and  $d_{S_i}(a_i) = 3$ ,  $d_{S_i}(b_i) = d_{S_i}(c_i) = 2$  and  $d_{S_i}(d_i) = 1$  for each  $k'+1 \leq i \leq k$ . If k'=k, then the desired conclusion holds. Thus we may assume that  $k' \leq k-1$ . We may also assume that  $S_1, \ldots, S_k$  are chosen so that

- (a) k' is maximum; and,
- (b) subject to (a),  $\sum_{i=1}^{k} |E(S_i)|$  is maximum.

We start with easy lemmas.

## Lemma 2.1.Let $v \in V(G - H)$ .

- (i) For each i with  $S_i \cong K_4^-$ ,  $|E(v, V(S_i))| \leq 3$ , and equality holds only if  $|E(v, \{a_i, b_i\})| = 1$ .
- (ii) For each i with  $S_i \cong S$ ,  $|E(v, V(S_i))| \leq 2$ , and equality holds only if  $vd_i \in E(G)$ .

#### Proof.

(i) If  $|E(v, V(S_i))| \geq 3$  and  $a_i, b_i \in N_G(v)$ , then replacing  $S_i$  by  $\langle \{v, a_i, b_i, c_i\} \rangle$  or  $\langle \{v, a_i, b_i, d_i\} \rangle$ , we get a contradiction to the maximality of  $\sum_{j=1}^k |E(S_j)|$ . (ii) If  $|E(v, \{a_i, b_i, c_i\})| \geq 2$ , then replacing  $S_i$  by  $\langle \{v, a_i, b_i, c_i\} \rangle$ , we get a contradiction to the maximality of k'.

For later reference, we restate the case i = k of Lemma 2.1(ii) in the following form (see the first paragraph of Section 3).

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $v \in V(G - H)$ . Then precisely one of the following six statements holds:

- (1)  $N_{S_k}(v) = \{a_k\};$
- (2)  $N_{S_k}(v) = \emptyset;$
- (3)  $N_{S_k}(v) = \{d_k\}$ :
- (4)  $N_{S_k}(v) = \{b_k\} \text{ or } N_{S_k}(v) = \{c_k\};$
- (5)  $N_{S_k}(v) = \{b_k, d_k\}$  or  $N_{S_k}(v) = \{c_k, d_k\}$ ; or
- (6)  $N_{S_k}(v) = \{a_k, d_k\}.$

**Lemma 2.3.** Let i be an integer with  $1 \le i \le k-1$ . Let S', X be subgraphs of  $\langle V(S_i) \cup V(S_k) \cup V(G-H) \rangle$  such that  $S' \cong K_3$ ,  $X \cong K_4^-$  or  $K_4$ , and  $V(S') \cap V(X) = \emptyset$ . Then for each  $x \in (V(S_i) \cup V(S_k) \cup V(G-H)) - V(X) - V(S')$ ,  $|E(x, V(S'))| \le 1$ .

**Proof.** Suppose not. Then  $\langle \{x\} \cup V(S') \rangle \supset K_4^-$ . Hence by replacing  $S_i$  and  $S_k$  by X and  $\langle \{x\} \cup V(S') \rangle$ , respectively, we get a contradiction to the maximality of k'.

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $v \in \{d_k\} \cup V(G-H)$ . Let  $1 \le i \le k-1$ , and suppose that  $S_i \cong K_4^-$  and  $|E(v,V(S_i))| \ge 2$ . Then we have  $|E(c_i,\{a_k,b_k,c_k\})| \le 2$  and  $|E(d_i,\{a_k,b_k,c_k\})| \le 2$ . Further if  $N_G(v) \cap \{a_i,b_i\} \ne \emptyset$ , then  $|E(\{c_i,d_i\},\{a_k,b_k,c_k\})| \le 3$ .

**Proof.** If  $|E(c_i, \{a_k, b_k, c_k\})| = 3$ , then by replacing  $S_i$  and  $S_k$  by  $\langle \{v\} \cup V(S_i - c_i) \rangle$  and  $\langle \{c_i, a_k, b_k, c_k\} \rangle$ , respectively, we get a contradiction to the maximality of  $\sum_{j=1}^k |E(S_j)|$  because  $E(v, V(S_i - c_i)) \neq \emptyset$ . Thus  $|E(c_i, \{a_k, b_k, c_k\})| \leq 2$  and, by symmetry, we similarly obtain  $|E(d_i, \{a_k, b_k, c_k\})| \leq 2$ . Now assume that  $N_G(v) \cap \{a_i, b_i\} \neq \emptyset$ . Then we have  $\langle \{v\} \cup V(S_i - c_i) \rangle \supset K_4^-$  or  $\langle \{v\} \cup V(S_i - d_i) \rangle \supset K_4^-$ . We may assume  $\langle \{v\} \cup V(S_i - d_i) \rangle \supset K_4^-$ . Then by applying Lemma 2.3 with  $S' = \langle \{a_k, b_k, c_k\} \rangle$  and  $X = \langle \{v\} \cup V(S_i - d_i) \rangle$ , we obtain  $|E(c_i, \{a_k, b_k, c_k\})| \leq 1$ , which implies  $|E(\{c_i, d_i\}, \{a_k, b_k, c_k\})| \leq 3$ .

# 3 Proof of Theorem 1

We continue with the notation of the preceding section. For convenience, when (m) of Lemma 2.2 holds for a vertex  $v \in V(G-H)$ , we say that the preference index of v is m, and write  $\operatorname{pr}(v) = m$ . Define  $\alpha = \max\{\operatorname{pr}(v) | v \in V(G-H)\}$ . We henceforth assume that we have chosen  $S_1, \ldots, S_k$  so that  $\alpha$  is as large as possible subject to conditions (a) and (b) stated at the end of the first paragraph of Section 2.

**Lemma 3.1.**Let  $v \in \{d_k\} \cup V(G-H)$ . Let  $1 \le i \le k-1$ , and suppose that  $S_i \cong K_4$ .

- (i) If  $|E(v, V(S_i))| \geq 3$ , then  $|E(V(S_i), \{a_k, b_k, c_k\})| \leq 4$ .
- (ii) If  $|E(v, V(S_i))| = 2$ , then we have  $|E(V(S_i), \{a_k, b_k, c_k\})| \le 8$  and  $|E(V(S_i), \{b_k, c_k\})| \le 6$ .
- (iii) If  $v \in V(G-H)$ ,  $|E(v, V(S_i))| = 4$  and  $\alpha \le 2$ , then  $E(V(S_i), \{b_k, c_k, d_k \}) = \emptyset$ .
- (iv) If  $v \in V(G-H)$ ,  $|E(v, V(S_i))| = 3$  and  $\alpha \le 2$ , then  $|E(x, \{a_k, b_k, c_k\})| \le 1$  for each  $x \in N_{S_i}(v)$  and, for the vertex z in  $V(S_i) N_G(v)$ , we have  $E(z, \{b_k, c_k, d_k\}) = \emptyset$  (so  $|E(V(S_i), \{b_k, c_k\})| \le 3$ ).

**Proof.** Assume that  $|E(v,V(S_i))| \geq 2$ . We first claim that we have  $|E(x, \{a_k, b_k, c_k\})| \le 1$  for each  $x \in V(S_i)$  such that  $|E(v, V(S_i - x))| \ge 2$ . To see this, take  $x \in V(S_i)$  such that  $|E(v, V(S_i - x))| \geq 2$ . Then  $\langle \{v\} \cup V(S_i - x) \rangle \supset K_4^-$ . Hence by applying Lemma 2.3 with S' = $\{a_k, b_k, c_k\}$  and  $X = \langle \{v\} \cup V(S_i - x) \rangle$ , we obtain  $|E(x, \{a_k, b_k, c_k\})| \leq 1$ , as claimed. Thus if  $|E(v,V(S_i))| \geq 3$ , then  $|E(x,\{a_k,b_k,c_k\})| \leq 1$  for each  $x \in V(S_i)$ , which proves (i) and the first assertion of (iv). Assume now that  $|E(v,V(S_i))|=2$ . By symmetry, we may assume  $N_{S_i}(v)=\{a_i,b_i\}$ . Then by the above claim,  $|E(\{c_i, d_i\}, \{a_k, b_k, c_k\})| \leq 2$ . Therefore, we obtain  $|E(V(S_i), \{a_k, b_k, c_k\})| = |E(\{a_i, b_i\}, \{a_k, b_k, c_k\})| + |E(\{c_i, d_i\}, \{a_k, b_k, c_k\})|$  $\leq 6+2=8$  and, since we clearly have  $|E(\{c_i,d_i\},\{b_k,c_k\})| \leq |E(\{c_i,d_i\},\{a_k,c_k\})|$  $\{b_k, c_k\}\}$ , we also obtain  $\{E(V(S_i), \{b_k, c_k\})\} = \{E(\{a_i, b_i\}, \{b_k, c_k\})\} + \{E(\{c_i, b_i\}, \{b_k\}, c_k\})\}$  $d_i$ ,  $\{b_k, c_k\}$ )  $\leq 4 + 2 = 6$ . Thus (ii) holds. Finally assume that  $v \in$  $V(G-H), |E(v,V(S_i))| \geq 3$  and  $\alpha \leq 2$ , and take  $z \in V(S_i)$  such that  $|E(v,V(S_i-z))|=3$ . If  $E(z,\{b_k,c_k,d_k\})\neq\emptyset$ , then replacing  $S_i$  by  $\langle \{v\} \cup V(S_i - z) \rangle$  and v by z, we get a contradiction to the maximality of  $\alpha$ . Thus  $E(z,\{b_k,c_k,d_k\})=\emptyset$ , which proves (iii) and the second assertion of (iv).

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $v \in V(G - H)$ . Let  $1 \le i \le k - 1$ , and suppose that  $S_i \cong K_4^-$  and  $|E(v, V(S_i))| \ge 3$ . Then the following hold.

- (i) We have  $|E(V(S_i), \{a_k, b_k, c_k\})| \le 6$  and  $|E(V(S_i), \{b_k, c_k\})| \le 5$ .
- (ii) If  $\alpha \leq 4$ , then  $|E(V(S_i), V(S_k))| \leq 7$ .
- (iii) If  $\alpha \leq 2$ , then  $|E(u, V(S_i))| \leq 1$  for each  $u \in \{b_k, c_k, d_k\}$ .

**Proof.** By Lemma 2.1(i) and by symmetry, we may assume  $N_{S_i}(v) = \{a_i, c_i, d_i\}$ . Then for each  $x \in \{b_i, c_i, d_i\}$ , we get  $|E(x, \{a_k, b_k, c_k\})| \le 1$  by applying Lemma 2.3 with  $S' = \langle \{a_k, b_k, c_k\} \rangle$  and  $X = \langle \{v\} \cup V(S_i - x) \rangle$ . Hence  $|E(\{b_i, c_i, d_i\}, \{a_k, b_k, c_k\})| \le 3$ . Consequently  $|E(V(S_i), \{a_k, b_k, c_k\})| \le |E(a_i, \{a_k, b_k, c_k\})| + |E(\{b_i, c_i, d_i\}, \{a_k, b_k, c_k\})| \le 3 + 3 = 6$  and, since we clearly have  $|E(\{b_i, c_i, d_i\}, \{b_k, c_k\})| \le |E(\{b_i, c_i, d_i\}, \{a_k, b_k, c_k\})|$ , we similarly obtain  $|E(V(S_i), \{b_k, c_k\})| \le 2 + 3 = 5$ . Now assume that  $\alpha \le 4$ . Take  $x \in \{b_i, c_i, d_i\}$ . If  $|E(x, V(S_k))| \ge 2$ , then replacing  $S_i$  by  $\langle \{v\} \cup V(S_i - x) \rangle$  and v by x, we get a contradiction to the maximality of  $\alpha$ . Thus  $|E(x, V(S_k))| \le 1$  for each  $x \in \{b_i, c_i, d_i\}$ , and hence  $|E(V(S_i), V(S_k))| \le 4 + 3 = 7$ . Finally assume that  $\alpha \le 2$ , and let  $u \in \{b_k, c_k, d_k\}$ . Take  $x \in \{b_i, c_i, d_i\}$ . If  $xu \in E(G)$ , then as above, we get a contradiction to the maximality of  $\alpha$ . Thus  $xu \notin E(G)$  for each  $x \in \{b_i, c_i, d_i\}$ , which implies  $|E(u, V(S_i))| \le 1$ .

Now fix  $v \in V(G - H)$  such that  $pr(v) = \alpha$ . We divide the proof of Theorem 1 into four cases according to the value of  $\alpha = pr(v)$ . Case 1: The case where  $N_{S_k}(v) = \{a_k, d_k\}$  (i.e.,  $\alpha = 6$ )

**Lemma 3.3.** For each i with  $1 \le i \le k-1$ ,  $|E(\{b_k, c_k, d_k, v\}, V(S_i))| \le 10$ .

**Proof.** By way of contradiction, suppose that

$$|E(\{b_k, c_k, d_k, v\}, V(S_i))| \ge 11.$$
 (A)

We consider three subcases separately according as  $S_i \cong K_4, K_4^-$  or S.

Subcase 1:  $S_i \cong K_4$ .

We first claim that if  $N_{S_i}(d_k) \cap N_{S_i}(v) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $|E(x,V(S_i-y))| \leq 1$  for each  $y \in N_{S_i}(d_k) \cap N_{S_i}(v)$  and each  $x \in \{b_k, c_k\}$ . To see this, let  $y \in N_{S_i}(d_k) \cap N_{S_i}(v)$  and  $x \in \{b_k, c_k\}$ . Then  $\langle \{y, a_k, d_k, v\} \rangle \supset K_4^-$ . Hence applying Lemma 2.3 with S' = S - y and  $X = \langle \{y, a_k, d_k, v\} \rangle$ , we obtain  $|E(x, V(S_i-y))| \leq 1$ , as claimed. Now suppose that  $N_{S_i}(b_k) \cap N_{S_i}(c_k) \neq \emptyset$  and  $N_{S_i}(d_k) \cap N_{S_i}(v) \neq \emptyset$ . Then by the above claim,  $|E(x, V(S_i))| \leq 2$  for each  $x \in \{b_k, c_k\}$  and, by the symmetry of the roles of  $\{b_k, c_k\}$  and  $\{d_k, v\}$ , we similarly obtain  $|E(x, V(S_i))| \leq 2$  for each  $x \in \{d_k, v\}$ . Hence  $|E(\{b_k, c_k, d_k, v\}, V(S_i))| \leq 8$ , which contradicts (A). Thus we have  $N_{S_i}(b_k) \cap N_{S_i}(c_k) = \emptyset$  or  $N_{S_i}(d_k) \cap N_{S_i}(v) = \emptyset$ . We may assume  $N_{S_i}(b_k) \cap N_{S_i}(b_k) \cap N_{S_i}$ 

 $N_{S_i}(c_k) = \emptyset$ . Then  $|N_{S_i}(b_k)| + |N_{S_i}(c_k)| \le 4$ , and hence  $|N_{S_i}(d_k)| + |N_{S_i}(v)| \ge 7$  by (A), which implies  $|N_{S_i}(d_k) \cap N_{S_i}(v)| \ge 3$ . Therefore it follows from the claim made at the beginning of this subcase that  $|E(x, V(S_i))| \le 1$  for each  $x \in \{b_k, c_k\}$ , and hence  $|E(\{b_k, c_k\}, V(S_i))| \le 2$ . Consequently  $|E(b_k, c_k, d_k, v\}, V(S_i))| = |E(\{b_k, c_k\}, V(S_i))| + |E(\{d_k, v\}, V(S_i))| \le 2 + 8$ , which contradicts (A).

Subcase 2:  $S_i \cong K_4^-$ .

By (A) and Lemma 2.1 and by symmetry, we may assume that  $|E(v, V(S_i))| = |E(b_k, V(S_i))| = 3$ . Then  $|E(\{b_k, c_k\}, V(S_i))| \le 5$  by Lemma 3.2(i) and, by symmetry, we similarly obtain  $|E(\{v, d_k\}, V(S_i))| \le 5$ . Consequently,  $|E(\{b_k, c_k, d_k, v\}, V(S_i))| \le 10$ , which contradicts (A).

Subcase 3:  $S_i \cong S$ .

By Lemma 2.1 and by symmetry,  $|E(x,V(S_i))| \leq 2$  for each  $x \in \{b_k,c_k,d_k,v\}$ , which implies that  $|E(\{b_k,c_k,d_k,v\},V(S_i))| \leq 8$ , a contradiction.

Now for each  $x \in V(G-H-v)$ , it follows from Lemma 2.1(ii) that  $|E(\{b_k,c_k\},x)| \leq 1$ , and we also have  $|E(\{d_k,v\},x)| \leq 1$  by symmetry. Hence by Lemma 3.3,  $d_G(b_k)+d_G(c_k)+d_G(d_k)+d_G(v) \leq 10(k-1)+2\{n-(4k+1)\}+8=2n+2k-4$ . On the other hand,  $d_G(b_k)+d_G(c_k)+d_G(d_k)+d_G(v) \geq 2\sigma_2(G) \geq 2n+2k$ , which is a contradiction. This completes the proof for Case 1.

Case 2: The case where  $N_{S_k}(v)=\{b_k,d_k\},\{c_k,d_k\},\{b_k\},\{c_k\}$  or  $\{d_k\}$  (i.e.,  $3\leq\alpha\leq5$ )

By the symmetry of the roles of  $b_k$  and  $c_k$ , we may assume  $N_{S_k}(v) = \{b_k, d_k\}, \{b_k\}$  or  $\{d_k\}$ .

**Lemma 3.4.**For each i with  $1 \le i \le k-1$ ,  $2|E(v, V(S_i))| + |E(\{a_k, b_k, c_k, d_k\}, V(S_i))| \le 15$ .

**Proof.** By way of contradiction, suppose that

$$2|E(v,V(S_i))| + |E(\{a_k,b_k,c_k,d_k\},V(S_i))| \ge 16.$$
(B)

As in Lemma 3.3, we consider three subcases separately.

Subcase 1:  $S_i \cong K_4$ .

Suppose that  $|E(v, V(S_i))| \geq 3$  or  $|E(d_k, V(S_i))| \geq 3$ . Then by Lemma 3.1(i),  $|E(V(S_i), \{a_k, b_k, c_k\})| \leq 4$ . By assumption (B), this implies that  $|E(v, V(S_i))| = 4$ ,  $|E(V(S_i), \{a_k, b_k, c_k\})| = 4$  and  $|E(d_k, V(S_i))| = 4$ . From  $|E(V(S_i), \{a_k, b_k, c_k\})| = 4$ , we see that there exist  $x, y \in V(S_i)$  such that  $N_G(x) \cap \{a_k, b_k, c_k\} \cap N_G(y) \neq \emptyset$ . Now by replacing  $S_i$  by  $\langle V(S_i - x) \cup \{v\} \rangle$  and v by x, we see from the maximality of  $\alpha$  that  $\alpha = 5$ , that is to say,  $N_{S_k}(v) = \{b_k, d_k\}$ . This implies  $\langle \{d_k, v\} \cup (V(S_i) - \{x, y\}) \rangle \cong$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} K_4. \ \ \text{Consequently by replacing} \ S_i, S_k \ \text{and} \ v \ \text{by} \ \langle \{d_k, v\} \cup (V(S_i) - \{x, y\}) \rangle, \\ \langle \{x, b_k, c_k, a_k\} \rangle \ \ \text{and} \ \ y, \ \text{we get a contradiction to the maximality of} \ \alpha. \\ \text{Thus} \ |E(v, V(S_i))| \ \leq \ 2 \ \ \text{and} \ |E(d_k, V(S_i))| \ \leq \ 2. \ \ \text{If} \ |E(v, V(S_i))| \ = \ 2 \ \ \text{or} \\ |E(d_k, V(S_i))| \ = \ 2, \ \text{then} \ |E(V(S_i), \{a_k, b_k, c_k\})| \ \leq \ 8 \ \ \text{by Lemma } 3.1(\text{ii}), \ \text{and} \\ \text{hence} \ \ 2|E(v, V(S_i))| \ + |E(\{a_k, b_k, c_k, d_k\}, V(S_i))| \ \leq \ 4 + 2 + 8 = 14, \ \text{a contradiction}. \ \ \text{Thus} \ |E(v, V(S_i))| \ \leq \ 1 \ \ \text{and} \ |E(d_k, V(S_i))| \ \leq \ 1. \ \ \text{Consequently}, \\ 2|E(v, V(S_i))| \ + |E(\{a_k, b_k, c_k, d_k\}, V(S_i))| \ \leq \ 2 + 1 + 12 = 15, \ \text{which contradicts} \ (\text{B}). \end{array}$ 

Subcase 2:  $S_i \cong K_4^-$ .

By Lemma 2.1,  $|E(v, V(S_i))| \leq 3$ . We divide the proof into two subcases according as  $b_k \notin N_{S_k}(v)$  or  $b_k \in N_{S_k}(v)$ .

Subcase 2.1:  $N_{S_k}(v) = \{d_k\}.$ 

First assume  $|E(v, V(S_i))| = 3$ . Then by Lemma 3.2(ii),  $|E(V(S_i), \{a_k, a_k, b_k\})| = 3$ .  $|b_k, c_k, d_k| \le 7$ . Consequently,  $2|E(v, V(S_i))| + |E(\{a_k, b_k, c_k, d_k\}, V(S_i))|$  $\leq 6+7$ , which contradicts (B). Next assume that  $|E(v,V(S_i))|=2$ . If  $|E(v, \{a_i, b_i\})| = 2$ , then arguing as in the proof of Lemma 3.2(ii), we see from the maximality of  $\alpha$  that  $|E(\{c_i,d_i\},V(S_k))| \leq 2$ , and hence  $2|E(v,V(S_i))| + |E(\{a_k,b_k,c_k,d_k\},V(S_i))| \le 4+8+2=14$ , a contradiction. Thus  $|E(v, \{a_i, b_i\})| \leq 1$ . Assume for the morment that  $|E(v, \{a_i, b_i\})| = 1$ , say,  $va_i, vc_i \in E(G)$ . Then by the maximality of  $\alpha$ ,  $|E(d_i, V(S_k))| \leq 1$ . If  $|E(b_i,V(S_k))| \geq 3$ , then replacing  $S_i,S_k$  and v by  $\langle \{b_i,a_k,b_k,c_k\} \rangle$ ,  $\langle \{a_i, c_i, d_i, v\} \rangle$  and  $d_k$ , respectively, we get a contradiction to the maximality of  $\alpha$ . Thus we also have  $|E(b_i, V(S_k))| \leq 2$ . Consequently,  $2|E(v, V(S_i))| +$  $|E(\{a_k, b_k, c_k, d_k\}, V(S_i))| \le 4 + 8 + 2 + 1 = 15$ , a contradiction. Thus we are reduced to the case where  $N_{S_i}(v) = \{c_i, d_i\}$ . Suppose that  $N_G(d_k) \cap$  $\{a_i,b_i\}\neq\emptyset$ . Then we see from the maximality of  $\alpha$  that  $|E(\{c_i,d_i\},\{a_k,b_k,$  $|c_k| \le 2$ , and hence  $2|E(v,V(S_i))| + |E(\{a_k,b_k,c_k,d_k\},V(S_i))| \le 4 + |c_k| \le 2$ 8 + 2 + 2 = 16. In view of (B), this implies that  $|E(d_k, \{c_i, d_i\})| = 2$ and  $|E(\{a_k,b_k,c_k,d_k\},\{a_i,b_i\})|=8$ . Consequently replacing  $S_i$  and  $S_k$  by  $\langle \{v, d_k, c_i, d_i\} \rangle$  and  $\langle \{b_i, a_k, b_k, c_k\} \rangle$ , we get a contradiction to the maximality of k'. Thus  $N_G(d_k) \cap \{a_i, b_i\} = \emptyset$ , which implies that  $|E(d_k, V(C_i))| = \emptyset$  $|E(d_k,\{c_i,d_i\})| \leq 2$ . Since we are assuming  $N_{S_i}(v) = \{c_i,d_i\}$ , we also have  $|E(\{c_i, d_i\}, \{a_k, b_k, c_k\})| \le 4$  by Lemma 2.4. By (B), it follows that  $|E(d_k, \{c_i, d_i\})| = 2$  and  $|E(\{a_k, b_k, c_k\}, \{a_i, b_i\})| = 6$ . Consequently by replacing  $S_i$  and  $S_k$  by  $\langle \{v, d_k, c_i, d_i\} \rangle$  and  $\langle \{b_i, a_k, b_k, c_k\} \rangle$ , respectively, we get a contradiction to the maximality of k'. This concludes the discussion for the case where  $|E(v,V(S_i))|=2$ . Finally assume  $|E(v,V(S_i))|\leq 1$ . If  $|E(c_i, \{a_k, b_k, c_k\})| = 3 \text{ or } |E(d_i, \{a_k, b_k, c_k\})| = 3, \text{ then } |E(d_k, V(S_i))| \le 1$ by Lemma 2.4, and hence  $2|E(v,V(S_i))| + |E(\{a_k,b_k,c_k,d_k\},V(S_i))| \le$ 2 + 1 + 12 = 15, a contradiction. Thus  $|E(c_i, \{a_k, b_k, c_k\})| \leq 2$  and  $|E(d_i, \{a_k, b_k, c_k\})| \leq 2$ . By (B), this implies that  $|E(d_k, V(S_i))| = 4$  and  $|E(c_i, \{a_k, b_k, c_k\})| = 2$ . Therefore replacing  $S_i$  and  $S_k$  by  $\langle \{d_k, a_i, b_i, d_i\} \rangle$  and  $\langle \{c_i, a_k, b_k, c_k\} \rangle$ , we get a contradiction to the maximality of k'.

Subcase 2.2:  $N_{S_k}(v) = \{b_k, d_k\}$  or  $N_{S_k}(v) = \{b_k\}$ .

By the symmetry of v and  $d_k$  in  $\langle V(S_k) \cup \{v\} \rangle$ , we have  $|E(d_k, V(S_i))| \leq$ 3 by Lemma 2.1. If  $|E(v,V(S_i))| = 3$  or  $|E(d_k,V(S_i))| = 3$ , then  $|E(V(S_i),\{$  $|d_k\}, V(S_i)| \le 6 + 3 + 6 = 15$ , a contradiction. Thus  $|E(v, V(S_i))| \le 2$  and  $|E(d_k, V(S_i))| \le 2$ . Hence by (B),  $|E(V(S_i), \{a_k, b_k, c_k\})| \ge 10$ . Now if  $|E(c_i, \{a_k, b_k, c_k\})| = 3 \text{ or } |E(d_i, \{a_k, b_k, c_k\})| = 3, \text{ then } |E(v, V(S_i))| \le 1$ and  $|E(d_k, V(S_i))| \le 1$  by Lemma 2.4, and hence  $2|E(v, V(S_i))| + |E(\{a_k, b_k, b_k, b_k\})|$  $|c_k, d_k|, V(S_i)| \le 3 + 12 = 15$ , a contradiction. Thus  $|E(c_i, \{a_k, b_k, c_k\})| \le 3 + 12 = 15$ 2 and  $|E(d_i, \{a_k, b_k, c_k\})| \leq 2$ . Consequently  $|E(c_i, \{a_k, b_k, c_k\})| = 2$ ,  $|E(d_i, \{a_k, b_k, c_k\})| = 2, |E(\{a_i, b_i\}, \{a_k, b_k, c_k\})| = 6 \text{ and } |E(v, V(S_i))| =$  $|E(d_k,V(S_i))|=2$ . If  $va_i\in E(G)$ , we get a contradiction to the maximality of k' by replacing  $S_i$  and  $S_k$  either by  $\langle \{a_i, b_i, c_i, v\} \rangle$  and  $\langle \{a_k, b_k, c_k, d_i\} \rangle$ or by  $\langle \{a_i, b_i, d_i, v\} \rangle$  and  $\langle \{a_k, b_k, c_k, c_i\} \rangle$ . Thus  $va_i \notin E(G)$ . Similarly  $vb_i \notin E(G)$ . Hence  $N_{S_i}(v) = \{c_i, d_i\}$ . By symmetry, we similarly obtain  $N_{S_i}(d_k) = \{c_i, d_i\}$ . Since  $|E(c_i, \{a_k, b_k, c_k\})| = 2$ , we have  $c_i a_k \in E(G)$  or  $c_i b_k \in E(G)$ . By the symmetry of  $a_k$  and  $b_k$  in  $\langle V(S_k) \cup \{v\} \rangle$ , we may assume  $c_i b_k \in E(G)$ . But then replacing  $S_i$  and  $S_k$  by  $\langle \{a_i, c_i, b_k, v\} \rangle$  and  $\langle \{b_i, d_i, a_k, c_k\} \rangle$ , we obtain a contradiction to the maximality of k'.

#### Subcase 3: $S_i \cong S$ .

First assume that  $|E(v, V(S_i))| \le 1$ . Then by (B),  $|E(\{a_k, b_k, c_k, d_k\}, V($  $|S_i|$   $|S_i$  $\{c_i\}$ )  $\neq \emptyset$  and  $\{E(d_i, \{a_k, b_k, c_k\}) \neq \emptyset$  and we have  $|E(d_k, \{a_i, b_i, c_i\})| \geq 2$  or  $|E(d_i, \{a_k, b_k, c_k\})| \ge 2$ , and hence we get a contradiction to the maximality of k' by replacing  $S_i$  and  $S_k$  by  $\langle \{a_i, b_i, c_i, d_k\} \rangle$  and  $\langle \{a_k, b_k, c_k, d_i\} \rangle$ . Thus  $|E(d_k, \{a_i, b_i, c_i\})| + |E(d_i, \{a_k, b_k, c_k\})| \le 3$ . Hence  $|E(V(S_k), V(S_i))| =$  $|E(d_k, d_i)| + (|E(d_k, \{a_i, b_i, c_i\})| + |E(\{a_k, b_k, c_k\}, d_i)|) + |E(\{a_k, b_k, c_k\}, \{a_i, b_i, b_i\})|$  $|c_i|$   $|c_i|$  $|\geq 14$ . Next assume that  $|E(v,V(S_i))|\geq 2$ . By the maximality of  $\alpha$ , this forces  $|E(v,V(S_i))| = 2$ ,  $N_{S_k}(v) = \{b_k,d_k\}$ ,  $d_i \in N_{S_i}(v)$  and  $|\{b_i,c_i\} \cap$  $N_{S_i}(v) = 1$ . Hence applying Lemma 2.1 with the roles of v and  $d_k$  interchanged we obtain  $|E(d_k, \{a_i, b_i, c_i\})| \leq 1$ . Further applying Lemma 2.1 to  $S_k$  with the roles of v and  $d_i$  interchanged, we obtain  $|E(d_i, \{a_k, b_k, c_k\})| \le$ 1. Consequently  $|E(V(S_k), V(S_i))| = |E(d_k, d_i)| + |E(d_k, \{a_i, b_i, c_i\})| +$  $|E(\{a_k,b_k,c_k\},d_i)|+|E(\{a_k,b_k,c_k\},\{a_i,b_i,c_i\})| \le 1+1+1+9$ . In view of (B), this forces  $d_k d_i \in E(G)$  and  $|E(\{a_k, b_k, c_k\}, \{a_i, b_i, c_i\})| = 9$ . Therefore  $\langle \{a_i, d_i, v, d_k\} \rangle \supset S$  and  $\langle \{b_i, a_k, b_k, c_k\} \rangle \cong K_4$ , and hence we get a contradiction to the maximality of k' by replacing  $S_i$  and  $S_k$  by  $\langle \{a_i, d_i, v, d_k\} \rangle$ and  $\langle \{b_i, a_k, b_k, c_k\} \rangle$ .

For each  $x \in V(G-H-v)$ ,  $2|E(v,x)| + |E(\{a_k,b_k,c_k,d_k\},x)| \le 4$  by Lemma 2.1 and, if equality holds, then  $d_k, v \in N_G(x)$  by Lemma 2.1, and  $N_{S_k}(v) = \{b_k,d_k\}$  (and  $|N_G(x) \cap \{b_k,c_k\}| = 1$ ) by the maximality of  $\operatorname{pr}(v)$ . Thus if there exist two vertices  $x,y \in V(G-H-v)$  such that  $2|E(v,x)| + |E(\{a_k,b_k,c_k,d_k\},x)| = 2|E(v,y)| + |E(\{a_k,b_k,c_k,d_k\},y)| = 4$ , then by replacing  $S_k$  by  $\langle \{x,y,v,d_k\} \rangle$ , we get a contradiction to the maximality of k'. Consequently, by Lemma 3.4,  $2d_G(v) + d_G(a_k) + d_G(b_k) + d_G(c_k) + d_G(d_k) \le 15(k-1) + 3(n-4k-1) + 1 + 14 = 3n + 3k - 3$ . On the other hand, by the assumption that  $\sigma_2(G) \ge n + k$ ,  $2d_G(v) + d_G(a_k) + d_G(b_k) + d_G(c_k) + d_G(d_k) \ge 3n + 3k$ . This is a contradiction, and this completes the proof for Case 2.

Case 3: The case where  $N_{S_k}(v) = \emptyset$  (i.e.,  $\alpha = 2$ )

**Lemma 3.5.**For each i with  $1 \le i \le k-1$ ,  $4|E(v, V(S_i))| + |E(\{a_k, b_k, c_k, d_k\}, V(S_i))| \le 20$ .

**Proof.** By way of contradiction, suppose that  $4|E(v,V(S_i))| + |E(\{a_k,b_k,c_k,d_k\},V(S_i))| \ge 21.$  (C) Then  $|E(v,V(S_i))| \ge 2$ . By the maximality of  $\alpha$ , this implies that  $S_i \ncong S$ .

Subcase 1:  $S_i \cong K_4$ .

If  $|E(v,V(S_i))| = 4$ , then by Lemma 3.1(iii),  $E(\{b_k,c_k,d_k\},V(S_i)) = \emptyset$ , which implies that  $4|E(v,V(S_i))| + |E(\{a_k,b_k,c_k,d_k\},V(S_i))| \le 16+4$ , a contradiction. If  $|E(v,V(S_i))| = 3$ , then  $|E(V(S_i),\{a_k,b_k,c_k\})| \le 4$  by Lemma 3.1(i), and hence  $4|E(v,V(S_i))| + |E(\{a_k,b_k,c_k,d_k\},V(S_i))| \le 12+4+4=20$ , a contradiction. Finally if  $|E(v,V(S_i))| = 2$ , then  $|E(V(S_i),\{a_k,b_k,c_k\})| \le 8$  by Lemma 3.1(ii), and hence  $4|E(v,V(S_i))| + |E(\{a_k,b_k,c_k,d_k\},V(S_i))| \le 8+4+8=20$ , a contradiction.

Subcase 2:  $S_i \cong K_4^-$ .

By Lemma 2.1,  $|E(v, V(S_i))| \le 3$ . If  $|E(v, V(S_i))| = 3$ , then  $|E(V(S_i), \{a_k, b_k, c_k, d_k\})| \le 7$  by Lemma 3.2(ii), and hence  $4|E(v, V(S_i))| + |E(\{a_k, b_k, c_k, d_k\}, V(S_i))| \le 12 + 7 = 19$ , a contradiction. Thus  $|E(v, V(S_i))| = 2$ . By Lemma 2.4, we have  $|E(c_i, \{a_k, b_k, c_k\})| \le 2$  and  $|E(d_i, \{a_k, b_k, c_k\})| \le 2$ . Since we clearly have  $|E(\{a_i, b_i\}, \{a_k, b_k, c_k\})| \le 6$ , this together with (C) implies that we have  $|E(c_i, \{a_k, b_k, c_k\})| = 2$  or  $|E(d_i, \{a_k, b_k, c_k\})| = 2$ , and  $|E(d_k, V(S_i))| \ge 3$ . By the symmetry of the roles of  $c_i$  and  $d_i$ , we may assume  $|E(c_i, \{a_k, b_k, c_k\})| = 2$ . Then replacing  $S_i$  and  $S_i$  by  $\langle \{d_k, a_i, b_i, d_i\} \rangle$  and  $\langle \{c_i, a_k, b_k, c_k\} \rangle$ , we get a contradiction to the maximality of k'.

Let  $x \in V(G-H-v)$ . By the maximality of  $\operatorname{pr}(v)$ ,  $N_{S_k}(x) \subset \{a_k\}$ . Further if  $xa_k, xv \in E(G)$ , then by replacing  $S_k$  by  $\{\{x\} \cup V(S_k-d_k)\}$ , we get a

contradiction to the maximality of  $\alpha$ . Hence  $4|E(v,x)|+|E(\{a_k,b_k,c_k,d_k\},x)| \le 4$ . Consequently, by Lemma 3.5,  $4d_G(v)+d_G(a_k)+d_G(b_k)+d_G(c_k)+d_G(d_k) \le 20(k-1)+4(n-4k-1)+8=4n+4k-16$ . On the other hand, by the assumption that  $\sigma_2(G) \ge n+k$ ,  $4d_G(v)+d_G(a_k)+d_G(b_k)+d_G(c_k)+d_G(d_k) \ge 4n+4k$ . This is a contradiction, and this completes the proof for Case 3.

Case 4: The case where  $N_{S_k}(v) = \{a_k\}$  (i.e., $\alpha = 1$ )

**Lemma 3.6.**For each i with  $1 \le i \le k-1$ ,  $2|E(\{v, d_k\}, V(S_i))| + |E(\{b_k, c_k\}, V(S_i))| \le 16$ , and equality holds only if  $S_i \cong K_4^-$ ,  $N_{S_i}(v) = N_{S_i}(d_k) = \{c_i, d_i\}$ ,  $N_G(b_k) \supset V(S_i)$  and  $N_G(c_k) \supset V(S_i)$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that

 $2|E(\{v,d_k\},V(S_i))| + |E(\{b_k,c_k\},V(S_i))| \ge 16.$  (D) Then we have  $|E(v,V(S_i))| \ge 2$  or  $|E(d_k,V(S_i))| \ge 2$ . By the symmetry of the roles of v and  $d_k$ , we may assume that  $|E(v,V(S_i))| \ge 2$ . Then by the maximality of  $\alpha$ ,  $S_i \ncong S$ .

Subcase 1:  $S_i \cong K_4$ .

If  $|E(v,V(S_i))| = 4$ , then  $E(V(S_i),\{b_k,c_k,d_k\}) = \emptyset$  by Lemma 3.1(iii), and hence  $2|E(\{v,d_k\},V(S_i))| + |E(\{b_k,c_k\},V(S_i))| \le 8$ , a contradiction. Thus  $|E(v,V(S_i))| \le 3$  and, by symmetry, we similarly obtain  $|E(d_k,V(S_i))| \le 3$ . If  $|E(v,V(S_i))| = 3$ , then  $|E(V(S_i),\{b_k,c_k\})| \le 3$  by Lemma 3.1(iv), and hence  $2|E(\{v,d_k\},V(S_i))| + |E(\{b_k,c_k\},V(S_i))| \le 12 + 3 = 15$ , a contradiction. Thus  $|E(v,V(S_i))| = 2$ , and we similarly obtain  $|E(d_k,V(S_i))| \le 2$ . Now by Lemma 3.1(ii),  $|E(V(S_i),\{b_k,c_k\})| \le 6$ , and hence  $2|E(\{v,d_k\},V(S_i))| + |E(\{b_k,c_k\},V(S_i))| \le 8 + 6$ , a contradiction.

Subcase 2:  $S_i \cong K_4^-$ .

By Lemma 2.1,  $|E(v,V(S_i))| \leq 3$ . By symmetry, we also have  $|E(d_k,V(S_i))| \leq 3$ . If  $|E(v,V(S_i))| = 3$ , then  $|E(V(S_i),\{b_k,c_k\})| \leq 2$  by Lemma 3.2(iii), and hence  $2|E(\{v,d_k\},V(S_i))| + |E(\{b_k,c_k\},V(S_i))| \leq 12 + 2 = 14$ , a contradiction. Thus  $|E(v,V(S_i))| = 2$ . By symmetry, we similarly obtain  $|E(d_k,V(S_i))| \leq 2$ . In view of (D), this implies that  $|E(d_k,V(S_i))| = 2$ ,  $N_G(b_k) \supseteq V(S_i)$  and  $N_G(c_k) \supseteq V(S_i)$ . If  $N_G(v) \cap \{a_i,b_i\} \neq \emptyset$ , then  $|E(\{c_i,d_i\},\{b_k,c_k\})| \leq 3$  by Lemma 2.4, which contradicts the assertion that  $N_G(b_k) \supseteq V(S_i)$  and  $N_G(c_k) \supseteq V(S_i)$ . Thus  $N_{S_i}(v) = \{c_i,d_i\}$ , and we similarly obtain  $N_{S_i}(d_k) = \{c_i,d_i\}$ .

Note that for each  $x \in V(G-H-v)$ ,  $N_G(x) \cap \{v, b_k, c_k, d_k\} = \emptyset$  by the maximality of  $\operatorname{pr}(v)$ . Suppose that  $2|E(\{v, d_k\}, V(S_i))| + |E(\{b_k, c_k\}, V(S_i))| \le 15$  for each i with  $1 \le i \le k-1$ . Then  $2(d_G(v) + d_G(d_k)) + d_G(b_k) + d_G(c_k) \le 15(k-1) + 8 = 15k-7$ . On the other hand, by the assumption that  $\sigma_2(G) \ge n + k$ ,  $2(d_G(v) + d_G(d_k)) + d_G(b_k) + d_G(c_k) \ge 15(k-1) + 2(d_G(v) + d_G(d_k)) + d_G(d_k) + d$ 

 $3(n+k) \geq 15k+3$ . This is a contradiction. Thus we may assume that  $2|E(\{v,d_k\},V(S_1))|+|E(\{b_k,c_k\},V(S_1))|\geq 16$ . Then by Lemma 3.6,  $2|E(\{v,d_k\},V(S_1))|+|E(\{b_k,c_k\},V(S_1))|=16$ ,  $S_1\cong K_4^-$ ,  $N_{S_1}(v)=N_{S_1}(d_k)=\{c_1,d_1\},N_G(b_k)\supset V(S_1)$  and  $N_G(c_k)\supset V(S_1)$ . If  $a_kc_1\in E(G)$ , then by replacing  $S_1$  and  $S_k$  by  $\langle \{v,c_1,a_k,d_k\}\rangle$  and  $\langle \{b_k,a_1,b_1,d_1\}\rangle$ , we get a contradiction to the maximality of k'. Thus  $a_kc_1\notin E(G)$ . Now we prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.7.** For each i with  $2 \le i \le k-1$ ,  $2|E(\{v, d_k\}, V(S_i))| + |E(\{a_k, c_1\}, V(S_i))| \le 15$ .

**Proof.** By way of contradiction, suppose that  $2|E(\{v,d_k\},V(S_i))|+|E(\{a_k,c_1\},V(S_i))| \geq 16.$  (E) By the symmetry of the roles of v and  $d_k$ , we may assume that  $|E(v,V(S_i))| \geq |E(d_k,V(S_i))|$ .

Subcase 1:  $S_i \cong K_4$ .

First we consider the case where  $|E(v, V(S_i))| = 4$ . By Lemma 3.1(iii),  $E(d_k, V(S_i)) = \emptyset$ . In view of (E), this forces  $|E(a_k, V(S_i))| = 4$  and  $|E(c_1,V(S_i))|=4$ . Hence by replacing  $S_1,S_i$  and  $S_k$  by  $\langle \{a_1,b_1,b_k,c_k\}\rangle,\langle \{a_1,b_1,b_k,c_k\}\rangle$  $(c_1, a_i, b_i, c_i)$  and  $(\{d_i, v, a_k, d_k\})$ , respectively, we get a contradiction to the maximality of  $\sum_{j=1}^{k} |E(S_j)|$ . Next we consider the case where  $|E(v, V(S_i))|$ = 3. Note that we have  $N_{S_i}(v) \supset N_{S_i}(d_k)$  by Lemma 3.1(iv). Assume first that  $|E(d_k, V(S_i))| = 3$ . Then  $N_{S_i}(v) = N_{S_i}(d_k)$ . Suppose that  $N_{S_i}(v) \cap$  $N_{S_i}(a_k) \neq \emptyset$ , and let  $y \in N_{S_i}(v) \cap N_{S_i}(a_k)$ . Then by replacing  $S_1, S_i, S_k$  and v by  $\langle \{c_k, a_1, b_1, d_1\} \rangle$ ,  $\langle \{d_k\} \cup V(S_i - y) \rangle$ ,  $\langle \{y, v, a_k, b_k\} \rangle$  and  $c_1$ , respectively, we get a contradiction to the maximality of  $\alpha$ . Thus  $N_{S_i}(v) \cap N_{S_i}(a_k) = \emptyset$ , which implies that  $|E(a_k, V(S_i))| \leq 1$ . If  $|E(a_k, V(S_i))| = \emptyset$ , then by replacing  $S_i$  by  $\langle \{v\} \cup N_{S_i}(v) \rangle$  and v by the vertex in  $V(S_i) - N_G(v)$ , we get a contradiction to the maximality of  $\alpha$ . Thus  $|E(a_k, V(S_i))| = 1$ . Also, by (E), we have  $|E(c_1,V(S_i))| \geq 3$ . Take  $y \in N_{S_i}(v) \cap N_{S_i}(d_k) \cap N_{S_i}(c_1)$ . Then by replacing  $S_1, S_i, S_k$  and v by  $\langle \{c_k, a_1, b_1, d_1\} \rangle$ ,  $\langle \{y, v, d_k, c_1\} \rangle$ ,  $\langle \{a_k\} \cup$  $V(S_i - y)$  and  $b_k$ , respectively, we get a contradiction to the maximality of  $\alpha$  because  $|E(a_k, V(S_i) - \{x\})| = 1$  and  $a_k b_k \in E(G)$ . Assume now that  $|E(d_k,V(S_i))| \leq 2$ . Then by (E),  $1 \leq |E(d_k,V(S_i))| \leq 2$  and  $|E(c_1,V(S_i))| + |E(a_k,V(S_i))| \geq 6$ . Suppose that  $N_{S_i}(v) \cap N_{S_i}(d_k) \cap$  $N_{S_i}(c_1) \neq \emptyset$ , and let  $y \in N_{S_i}(v) \cap N_{S_i}(d_k) \cap N_{S_i}(c_1)$ . Since  $|E(c_1, V(S_i))| +$  $|E(a_k,V(S_i))| \geq 6$ , we have  $N_{S_i}(a_k) - \{y\} \neq \emptyset$ . Hence by replacing  $S_1, S_i, S_k$  and v by  $\langle \{c_k, a_1, b_1, d_1\} \rangle, \langle \{y, v, d_k, c_1\} \rangle, \langle V(S_i - y) \cup \{a_k\} \rangle$  and  $b_k$ , respectively, we get a contradiction to the maximality of  $\alpha$ . Thus  $N_{S_i}(v) \cap N_{S_i}(d_k) \cap N_{S_i}(c_1) = \emptyset$ . Since  $N_{S_i}(v) \supset N_{S_i}(d_k)$ , this together with (E) implies that  $|E(d_k, V(S_i))| = |E(c_1, V(S_i))| = 2$  and  $|E(a_k, V(S_i))| = 2$ 4. Let  $y \in N_{S_i}(v) \cap N_{S_i}(a_k) - N_G(c_1)$ . Then by replacing  $S_1, S_i, S_k$  and vby  $\langle \{a_1, b_1, b_k, c_k\} \rangle$ ,  $\langle V(S_i - y) \cup \{c_1\} \rangle$ ,  $\langle \{y, v, a_k, d_k\} \rangle$  and  $d_1$ , respectively, we get a contradiction to the maximality of  $\alpha$  because  $d_1d_k, d_1v \in E(G)$ . This concludes the discussion for the case where  $|E(v, V(S_i))| = 3$ . Finally we consider the case where  $|E(v, V(S_i))| \leq 2$ . By (E),  $|E(v, V(S_i))| = |E(d_k, V(S_i))| = 2$ ,  $|E(\{a_k, c_1\}, V(S_i))| = 8$ . Let  $y \in N_{S_i}(v) \cap N_{S_i}(a_k)$ . Then by replacing  $S_1, S_i$  and  $S_k$  by  $\langle \{a_1, b_1, b_k, c_k\} \rangle$ ,  $\langle V(S_i - y) \cup \{c_1\} \rangle$  and  $\langle \{y, v, a_k, d_k\} \rangle$ , respectively, we get a contradiction to the maximality of  $\sum_{j=1}^k |E(S_j)|$ .

Subcase 2:  $S_i \cong K_4^-$ .

By Lemma 2.1,  $|E(v,V(S_i))| \leq 3$ . Suppose that  $|E(v,V(S_i))| = 3$ . Then  $c_i,d_i \in N_G(v)$  by Lemma 2.1. By Lemma 3.2(iii), we also have  $|E(d_k,V(S_i))| \leq 1$ . By (E), this forces  $|E(a_k,V(S_i))| = |E(c_1,V(S_i))| = 4$ . Consequently by replacing  $S_1,S_i$  and  $S_k$  by  $\langle \{c_k\} \cup V(S_1-c_1) \rangle$ ,  $\langle \{c_1\} \cup V(S_i-c_i) \rangle$  and  $\langle \{c_i,v,a_k,d_k\} \rangle$ , we get a contradiction to the maximality of  $\sum_{j=1}^k |E(S_j)|$ . Thus  $|E(v,V(S_i))| \leq 2$ . By (E), this forces  $|E(v,V(S_i))| = 2$ ,  $|E(d_k,V(S_i))| = 2$ , and  $|E(\{a_k,c_1\},V(S_i))| = 8$ . Suppose that  $N_{S_i}(v) \cap N_{S_i}(d_k) \neq \emptyset$ , and take  $y \in N_{S_i}(v) \cap N_{S_i}(d_k)$ . Then replacing  $S_1$ ,  $S_i$  and  $S_k$  by  $\langle \{c_k,a_1,b_1,d_1\} \rangle$ ,  $\langle \{a_k\} \cup V(S_i-y) \rangle$  and  $\langle \{y,v,c_1,d_k\} \rangle$ , we get a contradiction to the maximality of k'. Thus  $N_{S_i}(v) \cap N_{S_i}(d_k) = \emptyset$ . Since  $|E(d_k,V(S_i))| = 2$  and  $|E(v,V(S_i))| = 2$ , we may assume  $c_id_k \in E(G)$  by symmetry. Then by replacing  $S_i$  by  $\langle V(S_i-c_i) \cup \{v\} \rangle$  and v by  $c_i$ , we get a contradiction to the maximality of  $\alpha$ .

Subcase 3:  $S_i \cong S$ .

By the maximality of  $\alpha$ ,  $E(v,V(S_i)) \subset \{a_iv\}$  and  $E(d_k,V(S_i)) \subset \{a_id_k\}$ , and hence  $2|E(\{v,d_k\},V(S_i))|+|E(\{a_k,c_1\},V(S_i))| \leq 4+8=12$ . This is a contradiction.

For each  $x \in V(G-H-v)$ ,  $E(x,\{v,c_1,a_k,d_k\}) \subset \{xc_1,xa_k\}$  by the maximality of  $\alpha$ , and hence  $2|E(\{v,d_k\},x)| + |E(\{a_k,c_1\},x)| \leq 2$ . Consequently, by Lemma 3.7,  $2(d_G(v)+d_G(d_k))+d_G(a_k)+d_G(c_1) \leq 15(k-2)+2(n-4k-1)+2(3+3)+8+6=2n+7k-6$ . On the other hand, by the assumption that  $\sigma_2(G) \geq n+k$ ,  $2(d_G(v)+d_G(d_k))+d_G(a_k)+d_G(c_1) \geq 3n+3k$ . Since  $n \geq 4k+1$ , this is a contradiction.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

#### References

- [1] K.Kawarabayashi,  $K_4^-$ -factor in a graph, Journal of Graph Theory 39 (2002), 111-128.
- [2] K.Kawarabayashi, F-factor and vertex-disjoint F in a graph, Ars Combinatoria 62 (2002), 183-187.